

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101421
Title of the Manuscript:	Developing a Scale to Measure the Attitude of the Farmers' towards Natural Disaster Management
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Title: Development of a Scale to Measure the Attitude of Farmers towards the Management of Natural Disasters Qualification Enough interesting and useful, it is likely that the manuscript to developed in taking decision determination class cluster Smart agricultural farmers. Summary: Suggestions about method data collection, instrument reliability testing and data validation, and technique analysis used, as well as technique more data collection detail study results be equipped about superiority in development scale measurement attitude farmers in research other relevant. Maximum Abstract 250 words. Keywords: Select keywords in the abstract, however, No it's in the title Introduction: must decipher about experience previously using other instruments that have been used in measurement attitude farmers in management disasters, however own necessary weakness sought the solution to reason propose solution development scale measurement attitude farmer using the opposite attitude instrument. will be better Yeah be equipped with base theory used in formulating development scale measurement attitudes the Method: will further a good explain the method used in data and information gathering, and the presentation ought to Use a flowchart to illustrate the steps. either procedure data collection or selection of the appropriate instrument to avoid subjectivity researchers and panelists, because the evidence of the reliability of the instrument is very important Likewise, the data that has been picked up needs tried its validity through the data normality test. The data analysis should consider wearing relevant non-parametric statistics with scale ordinal measures. Like-wise in determination class attitude ought to give benefit practical in formulating policy and in giving recommendations continue. Results and discussion: discussion willpower further bathtub Yeah be equipped with relevant references with case disaster and/or change climate Presentation of class data. ought to use a range of interval values (Table 2). Data results and discussion Already nice, alone need to be emphasized that development scale measurement This beneficial for lots party especially researchers and practitioners in India and elsewhere other Table 4 should be moved to the method Conclusion: Compiled systematic OK order goals and other findings that are relevant and urgent to delivery Avoid delivery of suggestions at the conclusion, the suggestions should be organized in wear narrative, without specific elements.</p> <p>Recommendation accepted with a fix</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tajidan Tajidan
Department, University & Country	University of Mataram, Indonesia