

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101421
Title of the Manuscript:	Developing a Scale to Measure the Attitude of the Farmers' towards Natural Disaster Management
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Yes, the manuscript is important for the community. However, the Authors failed to explain why this is important and to whom this research will be important.</p> <p>The title is suitable.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Yes, this manuscript has an adequate structure that follows logical, scientific standards. However, the Authors should re-sort the methods and results.</p> <p>Yes, however Authors should delve more about the results and elaborate it in the discussion.</p> <p>Authors should read more references and discuss more about the results.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The English quality is suitable for scholarly communications. Authors should do some minor corrections and scan throughout the document for the typo, grammar, and the punctuation.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>Overall, the manuscript is good and important for the development of disaster management. However, the Authors should elaborate more about the research results in the discussion and re-sort the subsections as written in the comments.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Levina Augusta Geraldine Pieter
Department, University & Country	Indonesia