

Review Article

REVIEW OF GREEN TECHNOLOGIES USE BY FARMERS: IMPLICATIONS FOR ENVIRONMENTAL SUSTAINABILITY IN NIGERIA.

Abstract

Background: The study reviewed green technologies used by farmers. It specifically reviewed what green technology is all about in farming; green technologies used by farmers and its role on environmental sustainability and challenge to use of green technology in farming. **Materials and Methods:** The authors reviewed books, journals, bulletin... theses, dissertations, research reports.... etc. **Results:** From the findings, it is observed that solar photovoltaic, wind energy, biofuel, biogas, biomass, organic farming, integrated pest management, mixed farming, etc. are the most common green technologies use by farmers in Nigeria. It also reveal that the adverse effect of conventional technology and modern farming practice on the natural environment were environmental degradation, global warming, climate change, reduction in biodiversity, destruction of soil health and natural habitat, economic loss to farmers, which can be addressed by green technologies use with its potentials of healing damaged natural environments and ensuring profitability as cost of production is reduced by 67% and sustainability of environment in the production process. The benefits of green technologies are quality natural environment, healthy farm produce and sustainable biodiversity while challenges to its use are cost intensive, lack of technical know-how, illiteracy of the farmers, inadequate information on the potentials and limitation of its application. Green technology is the path of rapid agricultural development and welfare improvement as it increases profitability and health of farmers and guarantees environmental sustainability. The study recommends policy measures promoting use of green technologies by farmers for environmental sustainability and profit maximization.

INTRODUCTION

Agriculture is the most practice profession in Nigeria. It is the integral part of man for his existence as he depends on it for provision of food, shelter, meat, medicine, etc. for himself and families, and it's the key source of income to about 80% of rural dwellers [1]. In the early period when the population was smaller, resources were abundant and there was enough to eat without so much concern about processing and storage, and agricultural production was basically based on cycling and recycling of nutrient between plant biomass, organic and inorganic soil stores[2]. At that period there was enough to eat and the populace lived healthier and longer as the production was eco-friendly.

As the population grew, limitation in natural resources aroused and this pushed man to craving for industrialization in agriculture in order to produce enough food for the growing population[2]. Based on that, agricultural production developed with the use of off-farm inputs such as inorganic fertilizer, agro-chemicals for pest and weeds control which aided increase in productivity but with serious side-effect that lasted than its benefit to the environment and man, making the entire ecosystem unsustainable[3]. Some of the serious environmental problem as result of these agricultural production techniques include but not limited to reduction in biodiversity, aquatic eutrophication by nitrogenous and phosphorus substances caused by over-fertilization, global warming caused by enteric fermentation and use of fossil fuel, water shortage due to irrigation, eco toxicity, human effects, etc.[2]. Owais, Sushree & Singh (2018) also noted that the use of

42 chemical and the prevalent farming practices result to environmental hazards such as damage of soil health
43 and natural habitat, soil salinization, reduce ground water level, soil erosion, decreased food quality,
44 pollution due to fertilizer and high cost of cultivation rendering farmer poorer year after year[4]. This is in
45 line with my position as it damages the natural environment and renders the environment unsustainable and
46 even affect farmer up to the point of affecting his health and income.

47 The practice of the use of off-farm inputs were basically for the increase of food productivity to feed the
48 growing population but over 14 million people in Nigeria are still malnourished[5], and instead of increasing
49 farming productivity to carter for rapid growing population in the country, it is increasing the damage of the
50 natural environment making it unfavorable and unsustainable.

51 Achieving food security mainly depends on efficient farming practices that will not only concerned about
52 increase in food productivity but also concerned with not subjecting environment to ecological problems
53 such as soil degradation, climate change, erosion while farming [6]. The growing awareness of the negative
54 impact of the use of off-farm inputs in farming is causing a shift among consumers, policy maker and even
55 producers to desiring efficient and environmental friendly method in agricultural practices.

56 Green technology is an alternative practice which assures reduction of environmental damage leading to
57 higher farm profit and protecting natural resources [4]. It increases the productivity with no damage to the
58 environment[3], and that's the same purpose of the use of off-farm inputs but it in turn causes damage to the
59 environment which renders it unfavorable to mankind. Green technology practice in agriculture increases
60 environmental sustainability because it produces high quality organic food from a high quality
61 environment[4].

62 **1.2 Statement of the Problem**

63 The large share of Nigeria population dwell in the rural area and their major occupation is agriculture. This
64 implies that they largely depend on agriculture for the provision of their basic need such as food, shelter,
65 income, medicine, etc. Earlier before advancement in agricultural practices as result of urbanization and
66 rapid population growth, agricultural production was basically by cycling and recycling soil nutrient and
67 waste product into soil to increase soil fertility to aid agricultural productivity. This particular practice was
68 eco-friendly because it helped in preservation of natural environment while trying to increase agricultural
69 productivity.

70 As population grow and urbanization on rapid increase; resources depletion became high leading to scarcity,
71 and it became necessary to utilize the relative scarce resources such as land to ensure production of enough
72 food for the growing population which necessitated the use of all kinds of off-farm inputs to increase
73 productivity per area of land as against earlier practice which increases productivity by increasing area of
74 cultivated land. At this point, the uttermost concern is increase in production without concern about the
75 environment. The use of the off- farm input actually increased productivity but not as fast as population
76 growth yet the negative impact last longer than the benefit. Indiscriminate use of chemicals and conventional
77 agricultural practices yielded to so many environmental hazards such as water pollution, decreased food
78 quality, damage of soil health, soil erosion, soil salinization, reduced ground water level, and even lead to
79 increase in cost of cultivation. The reduction in the food quality has rendered food produced with off-farm
80 inputs unpreservable and unhealthy for human consumption.

81 Based on the growing awareness of the negative impact of the use of all kinds chemical and conventional
82 agricultural practices on the environment and man; the producers and consumers are seeking for a healthy
83 food produced on a healthy environment that is fit for consumption and preservable. Then, it becomes

necessary to identify and understand eco-friendly agricultural practices preferably known as “Green Technology” that will aid productivity and profitability of agricultural production without damage to the natural environment and mankind, rather heals already caused damage.

1.3 Objectives of the Study

The overall objective of the study is to review the current green technologies use by farmers and how it affects environment while the specific objectives are to;

- i. recognize what green technology is all about.;
- ii. assess green technologies used by farmers and its role on environmental sustainability, and
- iii. highlight challenges in using green technology in farming.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Green Technology

Technology is basically, the application of scientific knowledge for the purpose of manipulation of the human environment to satisfy the changing desire of man. In this context, technology allows people to achieve things that are not easy or possible. There are many kinds of technology which exist, but, most of these have no consideration on the environment it’s been used to manipulate or its sustainability for the benefit of the future generation. Hence, green technology is a kind of technology that considers the environment and its sustainability.

Green technology is any product, system or methods that are used to conserve natural environment and resources which reduces negative impact of human activities[7]. This technology is not limited to conserving environment but also enhances the profitability of the farmer as Owais et al. (2018), stated that green technology is an alternative of conventional technology which assures minimization of environmental damage leading to increase in farm profitability and protecting natural resources[4]. The researcher agrees with this assertion as the conventional technologies are capital intensive which reduces the profitability of farmers or capable of putting them off the farming business as result of lack finance to access them and equally damage the environment. Asian and Pacific Centre for Agricultural Engineering and Machinery (APCAEM) also defined green technology as “environmental healing technology that reduces environmental damage created by the products and technologies for peoples ‘conveniences[8]. It also agrees with the assertion of Owais et al (2018) that it increases farm profitability while reducing environmental damage and conserves natural resources[4]. Therefore, the basic goal of green technology is to protect environment and repair the past damage and conserve natural resources while carrying out economic activities such as farming in the environment. These definitions serve as a baseline for green technology around the world as well as Nigeria in this review.

2.2 Green Technology for Environmental Sustainability

On the ground of trying to increase productivity to meet up with the food demands of the growing population has yielded many challenges such as climate change, environmental pollution, depletion of natural resources, etc. [9]. The researcher agrees with the assertion because before industrialization there was nothing like climate change, environmental degradation or soothe as the difficulties experienced today in some areas in Nigeria.

According to Nithya (2017), environmental sustainability “ is the rate of renewable resources harvest, pollution creation, and non-renewable resources depletion that can be continued indefinitely” which implies

126 that environmental sustainability is concerned about the future generation as it is also concerned about
127 present generation, but, it has not being achieved as reported by Minjian et al. (2017) that the environmental
128 pollution, natural resources depletion, climate change, inefficient use of natural resources are among the
129 growing global problems[9,10]. All these might have resulted from the application eco-unfriendly methods
130 or technology and there's need for advancement into eco-friendly technology that will ensure sustainability
131 of the natural resources and healthy environment for the end-users of the products. Technologies that meet
132 present need without compromising the ability of the future generations to meeting their own need- green
133 technology.

134 Green technology as environment-friendly technology is not only concerned about preserving the natural
135 environment but also enhances the profitability of the farmer, is a way of ensuring environmental
136 sustainability. It is also in line with the findings of Noor, Ivy, Ali & Rudy (2017), that green technology is
137 much concerned about the future generation and the environmental quality of the end-users of their
138 products[11]. According to Owais, et al. (2018) green technology is environmental sustainability because it
139 provides high quality organic food from high quality environment and retains its natural landscape, habitat
140 and species, ensuring quality life for the people therein[4]. This is so true because literature have proven that
141 consumers are yearning for products that is produced using environmental friendly technology in a quality
142 environment such as products of organic agriculture which is example of green practices. Therefore, green
143 technology practices will lead to actualization of environmental sustainability and provision of high
144 qualitative environment for humanity.

145 2.3 Green Technologies Used by Farmers in Nigeria

146 There is practically no challenge in developing agriculture for increasing productivity and income of the
147 farmers without damaging or affecting the environment. This is sustainable agriculture; sustainability is
148 necessary because all over the world including Nigeria where land has been unsatisfied and challenges such
149 as unplanned exploration of the natural resources (land, water etc.) have been realized[8].The sharp increase
150 in the cost of machineries, energy and agricultural inputs have reduced the profit of farmers which has
151 severely damaged the environment [8].

152 Green technology used by farmers is not totally new in Nigeria. It has potential of achieving increase in farm
153 yield and profit of the farmers by utilizing techniques that are environmentally sustainable , ecologically
154 sound and economically reliable and thus identified the following as the leading green technologies use by
155 farmers- green technology of solar energy, green technology of mixed farming, biogas and organic fertilizers,
156 bio refineries, biofuel, multiple cropping and crop rotation, integrated pest management [4],while Apacem
157 (nd) also identified solar photovoltaic, wind energy, biofuel, biogas, micro and macro hybrid power, biomas,
158 organic farming, integrated pest management, agroforestry as the green technology used by farmers in their
159 agricultural practices and Joseph(2014) also identified IPM and conservation tillage as green
160 technology[8,12].

161 2.3.1 Agroforestry

162 Agroforestry is a collective name for land use and technologies where trees are deliberately used in the same
163 land with crops and /or animals. According Food and Agriculture Organization, the trees and the crops
164 usually have ecological and economic interactions and it is dynamic ecological based, natural resources
165 management system that through the integration of trees on farm and in the agricultural landscape diversifies
166 and sustains production of increased social economic and environmental benefits for land uses at all levels.
167 It further stated that agroforestry is crucial to all farmers as it improves their food supply, income and health.

168 This technology is quite green as it ensures the use of natural resources which sustains environment of the
169 farmers and ensures healthy environment. Ibeawuchi et al. (2015) also affirmed the above positions as they
170 noted that agroforestry are used to exploit the benefits of combining trees and crops or livestock and it was
171 introduced to Nigeria in the year 1926 by International Council for Research in Agroforestry [3]. This is
172 really beneficial to the farmers as the produce of land will increase by the products of trees such as timber,
173 fuel wood, rubber and Ibeawuchi et al. (2015) repeated the following practical application of
174 agroforestry[3].

175 a) Wind break trees: These trees are planted by farmers to protect his family and their lands from strong
176 wind, e.g. *Leucaena* spp., *Casuarina* spp., Green giant, Gaint arbrovitac.

177 b) Hedgerow barriers: These are trees planted around sloping lands to control erosion and provide organic
178 matter through their leave fall, example; *Ptercarpus* spp. (Oha). The leaves of the trees are also used as
179 vegetable while the trunk as timber.

180 c) Live fences to control movement of animals in the farm: These are trees planted around the farm stead
181 to secure and limit the movement of animals.

182 The leaves are also used as fodder to feed the animals and their faeces as nutrient for the trees.

183

184 2.3.2 IPM (Integrated Pest Management)

185 This is a knowledge intensive approach which is an alternative to conventional use of chemical to control
186 pest. IPM is basically all about the growth of healthy crops with very little or zero disruption of
187 agro-ecosystem and encourages natural pest control mechanism. According to university of California
188 Agriculture and Natural resources, IPM is a process which you can use to solve pest problems while
189 minimizing risks to people and the environment and it can be used to manage any pest both in urban and
190 rural areas.

191 This can be simply done by taking actions that will prevent pest becoming a problem to you and your farm
192 such as growing healthy crops, planting diseases resistant crops, designing human look alike to scare the
193 pest and rodent away from farm rather than eliminating them with chemicals which also damage the
194 environment. According to Gogi, Nawaz, Sufyan, Sarfraz, & Liburd,(2017), IPM applications rely on
195 knowledge of the pest biology and pest ecology in agro ecosystem and with the knowledge you can be able
196 to identify pest control measures that will be adopted to stop pest without affecting the environment
197 negatively[13]. Gogi et al. (2017) reported the basic principles of IPM as follow:

198 a) Pest avoidance/ exclusion mechanism- This is an action taken early enough to avoid the entry of any
199 pest into the agro ecosystem to ensure its pest free. This is mainly by employing techniques which exclude
200 and prevent the pest such hand-picking, physical beating, noise creation, burning etc. Burning included here
201 as techniques for eco-friendly managing pest also has negative impact on the environment and man.
202 According to Otitoju, Yakubu, Otitoju and Uka(2019), bush burning has negative effect to the environment
203 and health of human as it pollutes the air with production of air pollution such as carbon monoxide,
204 hydrocarbon, hydrogen sulphide, nitrogen oxide, sulphur, etc., this shouldn't be addressed as eco-friendly
205 technique because it also damages natural environment and affects man negatively[14] .

206 b) Identification of pest and its status: This is management of pest by identifying the pest; its life stages
207 and effect. Identification of pest will help you determine their status, population and effective measures to
208 employ. However, proper identification of pest especially at early stage is expertise that cannot easily be

209 learned and most farmers don't have this neither do they have financial strength to employ the services of
210 the qualified entomologist.

211 c) Understanding biology and ecology of pest: Sufficient understanding of the biology and ecology of
212 pest such as anatomy, morphology, growth, etc., will enable you choose appropriate method or repellants for
213 the pest than applying whatever that is called pest repellent or adopting any IPM method. This is also quite
214 difficult as an average farmer cannot afford to hire a professional who can do that.

215 2.3.4 Solar Energy

216 Solar energy is a radiant light and heat from the sun that can be harnessed using solar heating, photovoltaic.
217 According to Apacem(nd), solar photovoltaic technology converts sunlight into energy using semiconductor
218 which can be used in agricultural production for pumping water, lighting in rural houses and pest
219 management[8]. For instance solar water pumping prevents lot of problems when compared to conventional
220 fuel such as no cost for fuel, maintenance and doesn't pollute the environment [15]. Sun delivers yearly over
221 10,000 times energy human presently use [4]. In Nigeria, there is enough sun which means that solar water
222 pumping system and other solar related technology will get plentiful amount of solar radiation. Owais et al.
223 (2018) also stated that this green technology as the best alternative for improving the standard of life of rural
224 farmers in terms of cooking and lightning.

225 2.3.5 Biogas

226 This is the transformation of agricultural wastage into fuel and fertilizer through anaerobic digestion.
227 According Apacem(nd), this technology is green because it utilizes agricultural waste and turn into fuel and
228 fertilizers[8]. Biogas is renewable energy source which is utilized in cooking, lightning and can also be used
229 in maintaining temperature inside green house for appropriate plant growth while the by-product are used
230 for organic crop production[4, 16].

231 The residue also increases soil fertility since it has nutrient and has good penetration capacity to the soil. It is
232 a good replacement to inorganic fertilizer and good for crop production since its odour is less by 80 from the
233 feedstock[4,17].

234 2.3.6 Mixed Farming

235 Mixed farming is a common practice on agriculture. The best part of mixed farming occurs where the
236 residual of crops is used to nourish animal while their faeces are used as fertilizers to grow crops and
237 nourish the soil. According to Deshmukh (2014), mixed farming help farmers to overlook the threat of
238 single crop production and it are the top technology for improving eco-friendly food production. This is
239 green technology as the use of the animal faeces as fertilizer in place of inorganic fertilizers[17].

240 2.3.7 Organic Farming

241 Organic farming is one of the most growing current agricultural practices because of its benefits to man and
242 his health and the entire environment. It is the standard of production that doesn't require use of synthetic
243 agricultural inputs but using natural eco-friendly methods to increase soil fertility and discourage pest
244 infestation [3]. It is established that use of synthetic inputs such as fertilizers, pesticides causes damage to
245 the ecosystem and renders its agricultural produce unhealthy for consumption and difficult to preserve.
246 Owais et al. (2018) noted that demand of farm produce of organic farming has rapidly increased as the
247 consumers' desire for quality and safety[4].

248 Organic food is safer and of better quality for consumption and even storage as Owais et al. (2018) also
249 noted that its animal or plant produce based on farming system that avoids the use of chemical fertilizers,
250 pesticide, growth regulators etc.[4]. This is the kind of agricultural produce consumers desires and above all

251 it promotes availability of healthy environment and protects biodiversity which is necessary for efficient and
252 sustainable manipulation of natural environment and resources. According to Oyedele, Wole-Alo, Owolabi
253 & Okunlola (2018) organic farming promotes biodiversity and enhances ecological harmony[18].

254 Therefore, organic farming is a green technology as it avoids the use of off- farm inputs which damages
255 environment and affects man negatively and rely on the ecological based process to increase fertility of soil
256 and enhances productivity.

257 **2.4 The Role of Green Technologies for Environmental Sustainability**

258 Technology is a solution facilitator but it is also part of the problem of environmental damage. Amongst the
259 impact of this conventional technologies on the environment included the misuse and damage of our natural
260 earth and the main two ways this damage have occurred, are through air and water pollution and depletion of
261 natural resources. Henri-Ukoha (2020) noted that Global warming which causes environmental
262 unsustainability is as a result of climatic change which emanated from the activities of man such as fossil
263 fuel burning, deforestation, industrialization which affects the livelihood of the people and eco-system
264 deeply[19]. All these is as a result of the use of conventional technologies and synthetic products in our
265 environment especially agriculture that is practiced by about 80.0 percent of the entire populace of Nigeria.

266 Green technologies have been found to play vital role in solving or reducing to a barest minimum of those
267 negative impact such as;

268 1. Environmental degradation

269 Environmental degradation is the deterioration of the environment through resource depletion including both
270 biotic and abiotic elements that make up our surroundings such as air, water, soil, plant, animals etc., of the
271 planet earth[20]. Over the years, the intensive use of technologies and off-farm input to advance agricultural
272 production has been employed which leads to environmental degradation. Ibeawuchi et al. (2015) stated that
273 environmental degradation is as a result of more use of conventional farming practices than green practices
274 and also identified that the practice lead to environmental degradation such as “erosion of biodiversity,
275 climatic change to pollution, desertification”[3]. Henri-ukoha (2020) also noted that intensive agriculture
276 contributes to over 20% of green gas emission[19]. All these problems are as a result of human activities by
277 application of conventional farming knowledge and technology to the environment. This is in line with
278 Henri-ukoha (2020) who claimed that climatic change is as a result of human activities and it affects
279 agriculture more than any other sector[19]. Whatever that affects agriculture in Nigeria affects the greater
280 percentage of the country entire populace. This implies that the best way to mitigate these impacts is to go
281 green in agriculture production.

282 James, Utpal, and Joseph (2014) noted that farmers using green technology will reduce soil erosion, improve
283 water quality, enhance forest land, grazing land and restores wildlife habitation. This is to say that green
284 technology practices reduce and solves the problem of environmental degradation[12].

285 2. Protection of biodiversity:

286 Biodiversity is the general term of the level of variation in all living things that exist in the natural
287 environment. According to Rawat and Agarwal (2015), biodiversity “is the variety of different forms of life
288 on earth, including the different plants, animals, microorganism, the gene they contain and the ecosystem
289 they form”[21]. Most of the species of both plant and animals which is beneficial to man and ecosystem
290 have been destroyed by the intensive use of conventional practices which makes them unavailable. Rawat et
291 al. (2015), also noted that biodiversity can be conserved by through gene banks, zoos, and botanical
292 gardens[21]. The conservation of biodiversity through that means are feasible but, it is not sufficient and

293 their maintenances cost is high which have rendered many conservational centers in Nigeria inoperative and
 294 it is also to note that this conversation centers are also situated around the same bad environment and they
 295 are not immune to air and water pollution. Therefore, these methods are not reliable and dependable to
 296 achieve healthy environment we all desire, but green technology which involves the use of natural
 297 environment and avoid use of conventional technology and synthetic input use that facilitates the destruction
 298 of biodiversity.

299 3. Self-reliance and natural conservation;

300 The goal of green technology is to sustainably manipulate the natural environment to contribute to
 301 agricultural production positively. The farmer using green technology need different species and plant and
 302 essential ecosystem as a source of input to replace its non-use of synthetic input (Ibeawuchi et al 2015),
 303 which will enable achievement of self-reliance and good environment[3]. Henri-ukoha, Osuji, Effiong, Eze
 304 and Anyanwu, (2019), noted degraded ecosystem as one of the factors that that hinders agricultural
 305 development which means that the use of conventional technologies and farming practice is a contributing
 306 factor to Nigeria food insecurity as it degrades natural environment [22]. Any forms of agriculture in the
 307 natural environment is the obstruction of the natural process occurring in the natural environment by man
 308 which will definitely lead to environmental damage but environmental friendly technologies have seen to
 309 operate with barest minimum damage[3,23,24].

310 Mader (2004), compared organic farming system which is one of the green technologies use by farmers and
 311 conventional farming with respect to their environmental performance and concluded that green technology
 312 (organic farming) showed better confidence interval for environmental performance than conventional
 313 farming and Ibeawuchi et al. (2015); Pretty (1995) also stated that it contributes to rational use of natural
 314 resources and environmental protection which it implies it enhances and preserves natural
 315 environment[25,3,26].

316 **Table 1. Differences between Green Technologies and Conventional Technology**

	Green technology	Conventional technology
	is concerned with the environment.	is not concerned about the environment.
	is concerned about the future generation as meets the need of the present.	concerned about the present alone.
	depends on farm inputs alone.	depends on off-farm inputs.
	increases profit of farmers as the cost is low.	increases profit of the farmers as its cost intensive.
	is environmental friendly.	impacts the environment negatively.
	protects and preserves biodiversity.	destroys biodiversity.
	it ensures clean environment and its sustainability.	pollutes the environment and threatens its sustainability.

318 **2.6 Challenges to Use of Green Technologies by Farmers**

319 Green technology is not relatively new in Nigeria as our forefathers practiced it long ago but in rudimentary
320 form before the advent of various off-farm inputs. They used many methods such bush fallow, shifting
321 cultivation, etc., and they were all green as they tend to enrich soil through those means so as to increase
322 their production but the recent some of green technologies are not fully utilized as results of numerous
323 constraints such as:

324 a) Inadequate information on the potentials and limitation of its application: Most farmers don't have
325 adequate information in all the potentials and limitation of green technology because of its newness in the
326 country. According to APECEM, green technology such as photovoltaic which converts solar energy to
327 electrical energy and its used for water pumping, rural area electrification , lightening have proven to be
328 effective but the major setback is inadequate information on the potentials and limitations of its
329 application[8]. This unavailability of detailed information may hinder farmers or government commitment
330 in it.

331 b) Illiteracy of the farmers: The frequent use of chemicals and other off farm inputs in agricultural
332 production has caused a lot of damage to the environment and health of man. APECEM stated that the use of
333 the chemicals has lead development of high resistance pests, affected soil fertility and caused high pesticide
334 residue in food and IPM can mitigate or solve these problems but the challenge is the illiteracy of a farmer
335 as it requires high level of knowledge[8]. IPM is knowledge-intensive approach which most farmers are not
336 learned as such.

337 c) Air pollution: Organic farming is one of the green technologies which avoid the use of herbicide,
338 inorganic fertilizer, pesticide which is detrimental to our environment and man but there is always
339 environmental cost of transporting organic manure to the farm. The cost is polluting the limits the quality of
340 air in the entire environment.

341 d) Lack of technical know-how: Most green technologies application or practice requires technology
342 expert for its efficient and optimize use but most farmers are illiterate and not technocrats.

343 e) Cost intensive:Some of the Green Technologies is more expensive than the technology it intends to
344 replace. Owais et al. (2018) highlighted that green technology application is sometimes expensive but, its
345 cost of training and development can make it even more costly in comparison with established
346 technologies[4].

347

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357 **3.0 Conclusion and Recommendation**

358 Reviews show that conventional farming method and use of off-farm inputs have been used to advance
359 agricultural production at the expense of natural environment and health of human. The desire of people for

360 quality food from quality environment favors green technology which preserve natural environment,
361 biodiversity and maintain sustainable food and health of man which when used by farmer it ensures
362 environmental sustainability and also increases profit of farmers. Green technology is a path of sustainability
363 as it is conscious of the future while taking care of the present need of man and it guarantees healthy
364 environment. Therefore these recommendations were made; Deployment of well-trained extension agent to
365 sensitize rural farmers on the adverse effect of the use of off-farm input on the natural environment and
366 health of man and the need to go green in their production; elimination of every form of subsidies in the
367 supply of chemical inputs such as inorganic fertilizers, herbicide, and pesticide to discourage the use of such
368 in our farming practices and government to establish adult education centers in the rural areas to increase the
369 literacy level of core farmers so as to facilitate the adoption of green technologies in their farming practices.

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

REFERENCE

- 392 1. Henri-Ukoha, A. (2019). Assessment of Climate Change Adaptation Strategies by Cassava-based
393 Farmers in Southern Nigeria: A Review. 6th African Conference of Agricultural Economists,
394 September 23-26, 2019, Abuja, Nigeria.
- 395 2. Boye, J. I., & Arcand, Y. (2013). Current trends in green technologies in food production and
396 processing. *Food Engineering Reviews*, 5, 1-17.
- 397 3. Ibeawuchi, I. I., Obiefuna J. C., Tom C. T., Ihejirika G.O., & Omobvude S. O. (2015). Indigenous
398 and current practices in organic agriculture in Nigeria: a review. *Agriculture, Forestry and Fisheries*,
399 4(6) 257-262. doi: 10.11648/j.aff.20150406.1
- 400 4. Owais, Y., Sushree, T., & Singh, A. (2018). Organic food production through green technology: an
401 ideal way of sustainable development. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*; 7(6), 160-163.

- 402 5. Owoo, N.S. (2020). Demographic considerations and food security in Nigeria. *Journal of Social and*
403 *Economic Development*, 23:,128–167 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40847-020-00116-y>
- 404 6. Oyewole, S.O & Sennuga, S.O. (2020). Factors influencing sustainable agricultural practices among
405 smallholder farmers in Ogun State of Nigeria. *Asian Journal of Advances in Agricultural*
406 *Research*,14(1),17-24.
- 407 7. Azmil,F.R., Musa, H., Abdullah,A.R.,Othman, N.A. & Fam, S. (2017). Analyzing the awareness of
408 green technology in Malaysia practices. *Proceedings of Mechanical Engineering Research*
409 *DaY*,252-254.
- 410 8. Asian and Pacific Centre for Agricultural Engineering And Machinery (Apcaem)[nd]. A Feasibility
411 Study on the Application of Green Technology for Sustainable Agriculture Development: Assessing
412 the Policy Impact in Selected Member. [Www.Unapcaem.Org](http://www.Unapcaem.Org)
- 413 9. Minjian, G., Joanna,N., Vladimir,G., & Maria,E.(2020) Green technology and sustainable
414 development: assessment and green growth frameworks. *Journal of Sustainability*
415 doi:10.3390/su12166571. www.mdpi.com/journal/sustainability.
- 416 10. Nithya, A. R. (2017). A study on environment sustainability by satisfying rural requirements in
417 Agriculture and food processing via urban solid waste treatment. *International Conference on*
418 *Innovative trends in Business practices for Sustainable Development*
- 419 11. Noor, A. J., Ivy, J., Ali, C. & Rudy, T. (2017). The acceptance of green technology: A case study in
420 Sabah Development Corridor. *International Conference on Applied Physics and Engineering*
421 *(ICAPE2016)*.
- 422 12. James, H., Utpal,V., & Joseph E.E.(2014).Green technologies for a more sustainable agriculture. U.S.
423 Department of Agriculture, *Agriculture Information Bulletin No.752*.
- 424 13. Gogi, M.D., Arif, M.J, Sufyan, M., Nawaz, A. & Srfraz, R.M.(2017). Integrated pest management. In
425 J.A. Muhmmad, E.F.John, Molina-Ochoa (Eds).*Principles of insect pest management*.Pakistan.
- 426 14. Otitoju, O.I., Yakubu O.E., Otitoju G. T., Uka D. (2019) . A review of impact of recurrent bush
427 burning on the climate change paradigm: The Nigerian experience. *International Journal of Biology*,
428 4(4), 92-101.
- 429 15. Mekhilef, S., Famarzi S.Z., Saidur R., Salam Z.(2013) .The application of solar technologies for
430 sustainable development of agricultural sector: Renewable and sustainable energy
431 reviews,(18),583-594.
- 432 16. Dhussa, A. (2004). Biogas in India. In *Methane to markets partnership. Meeting of agriculture*
433 *subcommittee*, 22.
- 434 17. Deshmukh, M. P.(2014) Sustainable Agriculture Development in India. *Research Directions*. 2(3),
435 1-5.
- 436 18. Oyedele, G. T., Wole-Alo F. I., Owolabi K. E., Okunlola J. O. (2018). Small–scale farmers’
437 perception on organic farming status in Ondo State, Nigeria. *American Journal of Agriculture and*
438 *Forestry*. 6(6),186-190. doi: 10.11648/j.ajaf.20180606.15
- 439 19. Henri-Ukoha, A. (2020). Assessment of the viability of climate adaptation strategies of
440 cassava-based farmers in southern Nigeria. *Journal of Agriculture and Food Sciences*,18(1),105-117.
- 441 20. Pradip, .M. K., Ali, S.A., Ahmad, A., Zhou, Q., da Silva Castro, J., Khane, E. and Ali, H. (2020). An
442 introduction to environmental degradation: Causes, consequence and mitigation. In: Kumar, V.,

- 443 Singh, J. and Kumar, P., (Eds.). Environmental Degradation: Causes and Remediation
444 Strategies,1,1-20. <https://doi.org/10.26832>
- 445 21. Rawat, U. S. & Agarwal, N. K. (2015). Biodiversity: Concept, threats and conservation.
446 Environment Conservation Journal,16(3), 19-28.
- 447 22. Henri-Ukoha, A., Osuji, E. E., Effiong, J. A. L., Eze, E. U., & Anyanwu, U. G. (2019). Financing
448 climate smart agriculture: a panacea for sustainable food production in Nigeria. Direct Research
449 Journal of Agriculture and Food Science,7(3),36-44. DOI:
450 <https://doi.org/10.26765/DRJAFS.2019.8721>
- 451 23. Scialabba, E. N and C. Hattam, Eds. (2002). Organic agriculture, environment and food security.
452 Environmental and natural.
- 453 24. Znaor, D. (1995). Ecological agriculture of tomorrow. Nakladin Zavod Globus.
- 454 25. Mader, P. (2004). Soil fertility in sustainable farming system. Journal of the Royal Swedish Academy
455 of Agriculture and Forestry 143, 37-40
- 456 26. Pretty, J. N. (1995). Regeneration agriculture: policies and practices for sustainability and
457 self-Reliance London, Washington. National Academy press, Action Aid and Vikas.
- 458 27. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) (nd). Agroforestry.
459 <http://www.fao.org/forestry/agroforestry/80338/en/#:~:text=Agroforestry%20is%20a%20collective%20name,spatial%20arrangement%20or%20temporal%20sequence>.
- 460
461
462