

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101310
Title of the Manuscript:	Climate change and its impact on crop water requirement of Mulberry (Morus spp., Moraceae) crop in Yadgir District, Karnataka, India
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes, the manuscript is important to scientific community Yes it is adequate Yes but some little additions to make is better The subsections that need to be worked in terms of the sequence of arrangements. Figures and Tables mentioned should be seen immediately for better flow of reading. Yes it has used all the necessary scientific approach necessary Yes	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Yes the English Language is simple and easy to understand.	
Optional/General comments	Overall it is a relevant research and adequate work has been carried out.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Augusta Ayotamuno
Department, University & Country	Institute of Geosciences and Environmental Management, Rivers State University, Nigeria