

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101043
Title of the Manuscript:	Efficacy of selected chemicals and biopesticides against chickpea gram pod borer
Type of the Article	Research article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>Report for manuscript: Ms_IJECC_101043</p> <p>Title: Efficacy of selected chemicals and biopesticides against chickpea gram pod borer</p> <p>In general: This study is important for the protection of chickpea pods from infection by the specialized plant pest mentioned in this study.</p> <p>Comments:</p> <p>1- The organization of this manuscript in a need to revise and arranged well.</p> <p>2- Abstract in a need for re-write by more informative way, authors should write the aim of this study, then the methods that done with the scientific name of larval that was studied, the duration of the study and some important results.</p> <p>3- Key words are not enough and didn't cover the aim of this study, authors should give specific key words.</p> <p>4- Introduction is too short, needs one or two paragraphs about the Helicoverpa armigera damages in the legumes yields and the deferent ways of legume protection against this larval.</p> <p>5- Also, authors should mention why did they selected (Indoxacarb, Chlorantraniliprole, Emamectin benzoate, Emamectin benzoate +Indoxacarb, Beauveria bassiana, NSKE, Neem oil). Neem is well known as an effective pesticide against this larval.</p> <p>6- What is the biopesticides that used in this study?</p> <p>Finally, this manuscript needs to re-write with well-arranged way, good organization, and more recent references needed it has only 13 references, I think the sending copy is not formatted well.</p> <p>Decision: this work is good and may be publish in your respectable journal after answering my questions and doing the corrections that mentioned in this report.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Magda Soliman Mohamed
Department, University & Country	National Research Center, Egypt