

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_101010
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of Genotypes against Bacterial blight and Tobacco streak virus diseases in Cotton
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Reviewer comments:</p> <p>1- The manuscript describes the efficacy of identify resistant genotypes so as to utilize them in breeding programs to evolve resistant hybrids. Resistant cultivars are compatible with all other tactics, contribute stability and offer advantages to an integrated disease management system. Identification of sources of resistance facilitates to development of resistant genotypes/hybrids, which in turn will be useful to the farming community in reducing disease damage and fungicide consumption.</p> <p>2- yes title suitable</p> <p>3- abstract comprehensive</p> <p>4- structure of the manuscript appropriate.</p> <p>5- manuscript correct</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1- The manuscript idea is good and, the overall presentation is satisfactory. 2- The manuscript has many types of syntax errors in sentence formation; the level of English is not good and needs deep correction. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The result section should be as objective and descriptive as possible and written in the past tense. Tables should be comprehensible as far as possible and should be add illustrated figure (including short but descriptive legends).</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sozan E El-Abeid
Department, University & Country	Plant Pathology Research Institute, Agricultural Research Center, Egypt