

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100971
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECT OF INTEGRATED NUTRIENT MANAGEMENT ON PRUNING RECOVERY IN TEA (CAMELLIA SP.)
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ The bushes of Tea are known to be pruned at recurrent intervals to rejuvenate their vegetative vigour, revive gross morphology and physiology and maintain a feasible form and size of the bush that is uniquely reinforced by the carbohydrates reserves accessible in stems and roots. • Yes, the title of the manuscript is appropriate enough. • Yes, the abstract written is comprehensive. • Yes, they are appropriate enough illustrating the general sub-sections viz., Abstract, Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, and Discussion. However, a few lines of the Conclusion part would make it more inclusive. • The data have been concisely documented in tabular forms. • The current study, deals with the practice of nutrient management through the use of organic manures in the form of Digested Coirpith Compost (DCC) and biofertilizers like <i>Azospirillum brasilense</i>, Vesicular Arbuscular Mycorrhizae (VAM) and phosphobacteria in concern to determine their influence on the pruning reclamation of tea bushes during one complete pruning cycle. • The references are recent enough. But a few more references could have been added. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Write as Abstract- in the singular form. • Abstract, 1st line: An experiment- add an article. • Abstract, 8th line: The maximum-add an article. • Write as a single word for "Keywords". • Introduction, 1st line: revitalize their vegetative vigour • Few lines of conclusion should have been added in order to make the manuscript comprehensive. • Add 3-4 more references. • The usage of the English language is appropriate and well suitable for scholarly communications. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • However, the manuscript has been succinctly described, and well-presented. • The tabular forms representing the related data pertaining to Treatment details; Effect of digested coir pith compost and biofertilizers on pruning recovery in tea varieties; Effect of 	

Review Form 1.7

	digested coir pith compost and biofertilizers on the starch content (%) of dried roots in tea varieties have been tersely documented.	
--	---	--

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asma Jabeen
Department, University & Country	Indian Institute of Rice Research, Osmania University, India