

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100920
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Sulphur and foliar application of Iron on Yield and Economics of Lentil (<i>Lens culinaris</i> L.)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Good</p> <p>Fair</p> <p>Need to be improved</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Good</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The introduction should provide more context and justification for studying the effect of sulfur and foliar application of iron on lentil yield and economics. Why is this study important? Are there any knowledge gaps or practical implications?</p> <p>The methodology section needs more details. How were the treatments applied? What was the experimental design? Were there any controls or replicates? Any statistical analysis methods used?</p> <p>Provide a clear statement of the research objectives or hypotheses in the introduction or methodology section. What were the specific goals of the study?</p> <p>Clearly mention the duration and timing of the Rabi season in the study area. This information is important for understanding the experimental conditions.</p> <p>Results should be presented in a clear and organized manner. Provide tables or figures to present the data on yield attributes, gross returns, net returns, and benefit-cost ratio. Describe any statistical analysis conducted and highlight significant differences between treatments.</p> <p>Discussion section should focus on interpreting the results and comparing them with previous research or theoretical expectations. Discuss the implications of the findings and their relevance to lentil cultivation and agronomic practices. Address any limitations or potential sources of error.</p> <p>Conclusion should summarize the main findings and their significance. Highlight the practical implications and provide recommendations for future research or agricultural practices.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	V. N. Meena Devi
Department, University & Country	Noorul Islam Centre for Higher Education, India