

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>International Journal of Environment and Climate Change</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_IJECC_100633</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>ADOPTION OF SCIENTIFIC PRACTICES IN FISH FARMING</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>


### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Yes</p> <p>2. Yes (ADOPTION OF SCIENTIFIC PRACTICES IN FISH FARMING In Telangana)</p> <p>3. Include 1 line of conclusion and recommendations in abstract. Conclusion and recommendation part is missing</p> <p>4. Yes</p> <p>5. Yes</p> <p>6. Yes</p> <p>7. Keywords: Arrange alphabetically</p> <p>8. The fisheries sector is also a major source of livelihood for a large section of economically weaker section in the country. Add reference</p> <p>9. also in providing nutritional security to every sections of the people. Even to vegetarian???</p> <p>10. An index was developed to measure the extent of adoption of scientific practices in fish farming. Calculation of relevancy weightage is not development of Index</p> <p>11. Relevancy Weightage formula is much before 2007. Even Chaudhari <i>et al.</i>, (2007) has not claimed that they have developed this formula</p> <p>12. A scale for measurement of entrepreneurial behaviour of dairy farmers. Chaudhari <i>et al.</i>, (2007). Had developed scale but using the same formula for Index is appropriate?   Kadian KS, Jha SK, Wodajo WA and Lokhande JP <a href="https://soed.in/?product=a-methodological-pathway-to-quantify-livelihood-security-of-the-farmers-a-confluence-of-alfares-and-fao-approach-to-frame-an-index">https://soed.in/?product=a-methodological-pathway-to-quantify-livelihood-security-of-the-farmers-a-confluence-of-alfares-and-fao-approach-to-frame-an-index</a></p> <p>2017 A Methodological Pathway to Quantify Livelihood Security of the Farmers: A Confluence of Alfares and FAO Approach to Frame an Index. Indian Journal of Economics and Development, 13 (2a): 772-778. See one of the index development procedure</p> <p>13. quartile range is not mentioned</p> <p>14. Reason for its non sig regression for Education. Must explain</p> <p>15. What was adjusted R2 value</p> <p>16. But, in regression analysis Edu X2 is highly significant. What is the use of correlation when Regression analysis has been used</p> <p>17. More number of younger fish farmers need to be encouraged in training on scientific fish culture practices. How it was concluded form research????</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	It is average	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Sudhanand Prasad Lal
Department, University & Country	Dr. Rajendra Prasad Central Agricultural University, India