

# Effect of Date of Sowing and INM (Integrated Nitrogen Management) on Growth and Yield of Summer Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)

## ABSTRACT

A Field experiment was conducted during Zaid 2022 at Crop Research Farm, Department of Agronomy, SHUATS, Prayagraj (U.P). The soil of experimental plot was sandy loam in texture, nearly neutral in soil reaction (pH 7.4), low in organic carbon (0.58%), available N (225 kg/ha), available P (32.30 kg/ha) and available K (350 kg/ha). The treatment consists of 5 levels of INM [100% RDN through inorganic, 100% RDN through FYM, 100% RDN through Vermicompost, 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN (FYM), 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN (Vermicompost)] as basal application and 2 Dates of Sowing (1<sup>st</sup> and 15<sup>th</sup> April), whose effect was observed on groundnut. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Block Design with ten treatments each replicated thrice. The result showed that growth parameters of Groundnut viz., maximum plant height (72.00 cm), dry weight (41.54 g/plant), crop growth rate (15.05 g/m<sup>2</sup>/day), relative growth rate (0.014 g/g/day) and yield and yield attributes viz., number of pods/plant (48.00), number of seeds/pod (2.13), seed index (43.66 g), seed yield (3.14 t/ha), haulm yield (7.03 t/ha) and harvest index (30.62%) was recorded significantly higher with application of treatment 5 S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>ST</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN (Vermicompost). The maximum net returns (90,793 ₹/ha) and B:C ratio (2.73) was recorded with application of S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>ST</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN (Vermicompost).

Comment [A1]: kg.ha<sup>-1</sup>

**Keywords:** Date of Sowing, INM, Yield, Economics.

## INTRODUCTION

Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*), also known as earthnut, goober, pindar, monkey nut etc., is a legume mainly grown for its edible seeds. Groundnut is important for sustainable agriculture as it improves the physio-chemical and biological properties of the soil. Its deep roots also open the soil, which ensure better aeration and heavy leaf drop

Comment [A2]: The sentence structure in the abstract needs to be rearranged: Aims, study design, place and duration of study, methodology, results, and conclusion

increases the organic matter in the soil. Groundnuts are grown in tropical and subtropical regions around the world, with the majority of production concentrated in Asia and Africa. With an estimated global production of 47 million tonnes in 2020(FAO), groundnut is grown in more than 100 countries and is one of the world's major oilseeds crops, ranking fourth in terms of production (FAOSTAT, 2021).The sowing date is one of the most important factors that can significantly affect the growth and yield of groundnut. Groundnut is a crop that requires specific environmental conditions to grow and develop properly, and its response to sowing dates may vary according to the local climate, soil type, and other factors. Early sowing can help groundnut crops take advantage of residual soil moisture and longer growing seasons, which can result in better vegetative growth, earlier flowering, and increased pod development. On the other hand, late sowing can result in reduced growth, lower yields, and an increased risk of pest and disease incidence. Among the several factors responsible for its low productivity, efficient nutrient management practices are also considered as major constraints. Groundnut depletes the soil nutrients rapidly unless the crop is adequately manured(Nair *et al*, 1982). Use of farmyard manure with other organic amendments like vermicompost, neem cake, poultry manure, sheep manure etc. provide an economic and environmentally friendly way of applying nutrients to groundnut(Prasad, 2005). Indiscriminate use of chemical fertilizers leads to development of several problems like decline in soil organic carbon, soil pollution and severe attack of pest and diseases (Chakraborti and Singh 2004).

Due to these problems, organic farming is gaining popularity in recent years. Balanced use of nutrients through organic sources like farm yard manure, poultry manure, sheep manure and neem cake are the prerequisites to sustain soil fertility and to produce reasonably good crop yield with optimum level of input usage. Groundnut is highly responsive to fertilizer application, although groundnut being a legume is capable of fixing atmospheric nitrogen, it responds to small quantity of nitrogenous fertilizer applied as starter dose (Chaudhary *et al*, 2015).

It is an exhaustive crop and removes large amount of macro and micronutrients from soil. None of the sources of nutrient alone can meet the total plant nutrient need of crop adequately. Hence, to achieve the targeted yield, proper date of sowing and nitrogen management is essential. With this background, a field experiment was conducted to study growth and productivity of groundnut under different date of sowing and integrated nitrogen management practices.

**Comment [A3]:** the number of citations is very small, it is necessary to add citations from the latest recent research in this field

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during zaid 2022, at Crop Research Farm, Naini Agricultural Institute, SHUATS, Prayagraj. The experimental site of the study is geographically located at 25.28°N latitude, 81.54°E longitude and 98 m altitude above the mean sea level (MSL). The soil of the experimental field constituting a part of central Gangetic alluvium is neutral and deep. The soil was sandy loam in texture, organic carbon (0.58%) and available nitrogen (225 kg/ha), phosphorous (32.30 kg/ha) and low in potassium (350 kg/ha). The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications comprising ten treatment viz., S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + R<sub>1</sub> 100% RDN (inorganic), S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + R<sub>2</sub> 100% RDN through FYM, S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + R<sub>3</sub> 100% RDN through Vermicompost, S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + R<sub>4</sub> 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN (FYM), S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + R<sub>5</sub> 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN (Vermicompost), S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) + R<sub>1</sub> 100% RDN through inorganic, S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) + R<sub>2</sub> 100% RDN through FYM, S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) + R<sub>3</sub> 100% RDN through Organic (Vermicompost), S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) + R<sub>4</sub> 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM, S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) + R<sub>5</sub> 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through vermicompost. Groundnut variety Kadiri-6 was used for experiment. Recommended nutrient dose 20-40-40 kg/ha was applied in the plot through urea, single super phosphate (SSP) and muriate of potash (MOP), respectively at the time of sowing. All other recommended agronomic practices were followed and plant protection measures were adopted as per need. The plots were prepared with dimension of 3m × 3m and seeds were sown with a spacing of 30cm × 10cm. Irrigations were given uniformly and regularly to all plots as per requirement so as to prevent the crop from water stress at any stage. The crop was completely harvested at physiological maturity stage and their post-harvest observations such as number of pods per plant, number of kernels per pod, test weight (g), kernel yield (t/ha), pod yield (t/ha), haulm yield (t/ha) and harvest index (%) were recorded. The data recorded for different characteristics were subjected to statistical analysis by adopting the method of analysis of variance (ANOVA) as described by Gomez (1984).

**Comment [A4]:** Material and Methods with subtitle : area study, experimental design, data collection methods/measurement of research results, data analysis

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS**

### **Yield attributes**

Table.1 and 2 Pertaining the details of Effect of Date of Sowing and Integrated Nitrogen Management on growth attributes of Summer Groundnut.

### **Seeds per pod**

At 100 DAS, the data recorded higher number of seed/pod (2.13) in treatment no.5 [S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost]. However, treatment no.4 [S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM] (2.00) was statistically at par with treatment no.5.

Application of inorganic fertilizers combined with organic and biofertilizer might have showed better performance of yield attributing characters viz. number of seeds per pod than application of other organic and inorganic nutrients.

**Comment [A5]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

### **Pods per plant**

At 100 DAS, the data recorded higher number of pods/plant (48.00) in treatment no.5 [S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost]. However, treatment no.4 [S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM] (46.27) was statistically at par with treatment no.5.

Growth and yield attributes viz., plant height, number of pods, 100 kernel weight, shelling percentage and oil content of groundnut were significantly influenced due to integrated nutrient application of organic sources along with the presence of beneficial microorganisms (Akshaya *et al.* 2022).

**Comment [A6]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

### **Seed index (g)**

At 100 DAS, the data recorded higher test weight (43.66 g) in treatment no.5 [S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost]. However, treatment no.4 [S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM] (41.93 g) was statistically at par with treatment no.5.

Early and plentiful availability of nitrogen to plants favourably influenced the kernel development and kernel size, which ultimately resulted in seed index (Bala *et al.* 2011).

**Comment [A7]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

### Seed yield (t/ha)

At 100, the data recorded higher seed yield (3.14 t/ha) in treatment no.5[S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost]. The minimum seed yield (1.18 t/ha) was observed in S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) +100% RDN through FYM.

Maximum seed yield was found with early sowing might be due to the effect of temperature and photoperiod at pod filling of the crop growing period. These results revealed that at vegetative stage only GDD i.e., temperature played a pivotal role. At grain filling stage, temperature, photoperiod and sunshine hours had positive influence (Anil *et al.* 2017).

**Comment [A8]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

### Pod yield (t/ha)

At 100 DAS, the data recorded higher pod yield (3.23 t/ha) was observed in S<sub>1</sub> (1st April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost. However, S<sub>1</sub>(1st April) + 100% RDN through inorganic (2.93 t/ha) and S<sub>2</sub> (15th April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost (2.90 t/ha) was statistically at par with S<sub>1</sub> (1st April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost.

Combined use of manures and fertilizers caused a significant effect on pod yield over their sole application and control (Chaudhari and Choudhary 2022).

**Comment [A9]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

### Haulm yield (t/ha)

At 100 DAS, the data recorded higher haulm yield (7.03 t/ha) in treatment no.5S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost. However, treatment no.4S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM (6.68 t/ha) was statistically at par with treatment no.5.

The application of RDF -NPK + Rhizobium + VAM + PSB showed higher increased in content and uptake of NPK in kernel and haulm yield of the crop which might be due to improved inherent nutrient supplying capacity of nutrients material, complexing of nutrients, particularly of micronutrient (Pandaya and Singh, 1988).

**Comment [A10]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

### Harvest index (%)

At harvest, the data recorded maximum harvest index (30.62 %) in treatment no.5S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost and the minimum harvest index (16.51%) was observed in S<sub>2</sub> (15<sup>th</sup> April) +100% RDN through FYM.

## Economics

Table.3 Pertaining the details of Date of Sowing and Integrated Nitrogen Management on growth attributes of Summer Groundnut.

### Gross return (INR/ha)

The maximum gross return was recorded in S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost (129,200₹/ha) in treatment no. 5.

### Net return (INR/ha)

The maximum net return was recorded in S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM (90,793 ₹/ha) in treatment no. 4.

### B C ratio

Maximum B C ratio (2.73) was recorded in S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM in treatment no. 4.

**Comment [A12]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

**Comment [A13]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

**Comment [A14]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

**Comment [A15]:** In the discussion it is necessary to compare with the results of research from other researchers.

## CONCLUSION

From the observations, it was concluded that with the combination of S<sub>1</sub> (1<sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM in treatment no. 4 recorded higher net return and B.C ratio and therefore is a fitting practice for augmenting higher Summer Groundnut yields for farmer.

## REFERENCES

- Akshaya, A., Kumarimanimuthu veeral, D. and Kumar, K.P.S. 2022. Integrated nutrient management practices on the physiological and yield traits of irrigated groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, **11**(9),1940-1942.
- Anil, A., Sudhakar, P., Umamahesh, V. and Prathima, T. 2017. Effect of agroclimatic indices and yield in Groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.) at different dates of sowing. *Andhra Pradesh Journal of Agricultural Science*, **3** (4): 261-264.
- Bala, H.M.B., Ogunlela, V.B., Kuchinda, N.C. and Tanimu, B. (2011). Response of two groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea*) varieties to sowing date and NPK fertilizer rate in a semi-arid environment: yield and yield attributes. *Asian Journal of Crop Science*.

3(3),130-134.

Chakraborti M, Singh NP. 2004. Bio-compost: A novel input to organic farming.

*Agrobios Newsletter*, 2(8):14- 15.

Chaudhari, R. and Choudhary, R. 2022. Yield trends changes in groundnut under different sources of nutrients management. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 11(2), 1088-1090.

Nair P, Sadanandan N, Kunju UM, Nair KPM. (1982). Potash fertilization and higher yields of bunch groundnut in Kerala. *Indian Potash Journal*,7,15-21.

Comment [A16]: Very old

Pandaya, S.B. and Singh, A.K. (1998) Influence of chelating legends on the uptake of Fe by maize plant. *J. Indian Soc. Soil Sci.*, 46: 80-85.

Comment [A17]: Very old

Prasad R. 2005. Modern agriculture vis-à-vis Organic farming. *Current Science*. 89,252-254.

Comment [A18]: Need to add the recent references

UNDER PEER REVIEW

**Table 1: Effect of Date of Sowing and INM on yield attributes of Summer groundnut.**

S.No.	Treatment combinations	Pods/plant	Seeds/pod	Seed index (g)
1.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through inorganic	44.20	1.83	39.86
2.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through FYM	41.23	1.33	36.89
3.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through Vermicompost	41.47	1.40	37.13
4.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM	46.27	2.00	41.93
5.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost	48.00	2.13	43.66
6.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through inorganic	40.67	1.53	36.33
7.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through FYM	38.03	1.10	33.70
8.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through Vermicompost	38.20	1.17	33.86
9.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM	41.13	1.70	36.80
10.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost	41.33	1.80	37.00
	F-Test	S	S	S
	SEm (±)	0.74	0.11	0.97
	CD (p = 0.05)	2.07	0.33	2.71

**Table 2: Effect of Date of Sowing and INM on post-harvest observations of Summer Groundnut.**

S.No.	Treatment combinations	Pod yield (t/ha)	Seed yield (t/ha)	Haulm yield (t/ha)	Harvest Index (%)
1.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through inorganic	2.93	2.09	5.77	24.02
2.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through FYM	2.43	1.73	4.93	19.02
3.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through Vermicompost	2.50	2.04	5.37	21.75
4.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM	3.10	2.16	6.68	22.13
5.	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost	3.23	3.14	7.03	30.62
6.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through inorganic	2.63	1.41	5.90	16.56
7.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through FYM	2.20	1.18	4.97	16.51
8.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through Vermicompost	2.27	1.41	5.50	18.21
9.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM	2.80	1.85	6.10	20.80
10.	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost	2.90	1.98	6.47	21.14
	F-Test	S	S	S	S
	SEm (±)	0.11	0.11	0.13	1.36
	CD (p = 0.05)	0.33	0.31	0.37	3.80

**Table 3: Effect of Date of Sowing and INM on economics of Summer Groundnut**

S.No	Treatment combination	Cost of cultivation (₹/ha)	Gross return (₹/ha)	Net return (₹/ha)	B:Cratio
1	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through inorganic	32,865	1,17,200	84,335	2.56
2	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through FYM	33,549	97,200	63,351	1.89
3	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 100% RDN through Vermicompost	52,116	1,00,000	47,884	0.91
4	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM	33,207	1,24,000	90,793	2.73
5	S <sub>1</sub> (1 <sup>st</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost	42,439	1,29,200	86,761	2.04
6	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through inorganic	32,865	1,05,200	72,335	2.20
7	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through FYM	33,549	88,000	54,451	1.62
8	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 100% RDN through Vermicompost	52,116	90,800	38,684	0.74
9	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through FYM	33,207	1,12,000	78,793	2.37
10	S <sub>2</sub> (15 <sup>th</sup> April) + 50% RDN (inorganic) + 50% RDN through Vermicompost	42,439	1,16,000	73,561	1.73