

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100538
Title of the Manuscript:	Problems Faced by the Rural Women in Adoption of Integrated Farming System
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. The theme of the article and the research problem chosen are highly relevant today and need to be discussed by the academic community. The issue of the survival of family farming, a producer of food for the population, is fundamental, a survival that depends on the sustainability of agrifood systems. The authors present an important and necessary study to build knowledge related to the context of climate change and world hunger.</p> <p>2. Yes. It could however be improved if it contained the research location: "Problems faced by rural women in adopting integrated farming systems in Udaipur district, Rajasthan, India".</p> <p>3. Yes, the Abstract appropriately describes the research problem, the objective of the article, the methodology adopted, and the main results achieved.</p> <p>4. Yes.</p> <p>5. Yes, however the methodology could be better described in the sense of showing the reader the instrument used for the interview, translated from the dialect used into English, even if as an appendix to the article in its publication. It would favour the reproducibility of the research.</p> <p>6. The references are insufficient and the most recent one is from 2018, thus published more than 5 years ago. It is necessary, for publication of the manuscript, to bring more current references in the form of research published in international peer-reviewed journals. It is also important to consider studies and reports published on the FAO/UN website.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>Yes, no problems detected regarding this issue.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>I would like to encourage the authors to make the suggested changes and thus enable the publication of the research developed, definitely valorizing this important study and enabling access by the international community.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Uiara Bandineli Montedo
Department, University & Country	Polytechnic School, University of São Paulo, Brazil