

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100538
Title of the Manuscript:	Problems Faced by the Rural Women in Adoption of Integrated Farming System
Type of the Article	Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention them in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. The article is in social science research and is more inclined to gender studies. Adoption of technologies by women and analysing the constraints that women face.</p> <p>2. Topic revised to 'An analysis of the problems faced by rural women in the adoption of Integrated Farming System: the case of Udaijpur District, Rajasthan'</p> <p>3. The Abstract requires and background/introductory statement, the type of research whether qualitative/quantitative and to specify the type of interviews done (whether structured or semi-structured).</p> <p>4. Sub-sections are appropriate but the introduction requires more literature review to serve as background of the study and how the researcher arrived at the research problem to focus on in the research. The methodology needs to specify if it was quantitative or qualitative research and how the researcher arrived at the sample to work with. The discussion of results was limited this could have been caused by the limited literature review in the introduction section.</p> <p>5. The manuscript title seems to direct the reader onto gender issues but no gender issues in comparison to men are dealt with in the manuscript</p> <p>6. The references are not sufficient more literature review is required using more recent research papers.</p> <p>Additional points</p> <p>The research problem is not clear, and how the researcher arrived at focusing on the constraints. (the researcher might need to clarify the difference between a problem and constraint since the topic directs the reader to problems but the results show constraints.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	The grammatical errors need major revision	
Optional/General comments	Recommend a revision before the paper can be published.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Dadirayi Manyumwa
Department, University & Country	Lilongwe University of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Malawi