

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100394
Title of the Manuscript:	Socio-Economic Status of Women in Rural Udaipur
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes 1. The sampling distribution only from 4 panchayati samridhi which accounts a total of 60 respondents only clear. But, for remaining 120 sample respondents, the sampling distribution is not clear. 2. Sampling technique is not given. 3. Sources of table is missing. 4. How the study identify women empowerment in terms of socio economic conditions? 5. Is there any specified problems focused to show data facts 8n order to know the conditions of women? 6. What the study narrates as scope of the study? 7. Author has not given any policy recommendations. So, i suggest to give it for the women's welfare.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Ok but. Author has to revise last sentence of abstract and first sentence of Introduction.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	T. Amose
Department, University & Country	Madurai Kamaraj University, India