

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100391
Title of the Manuscript:	Significance of plant canopy in crop yield: A review
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)	Considerable important	
2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)	Not quite (Review of impact of row orientation, row spacing, planting density on plant canopy and crop yield).	
3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?	Not quite.	
4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?	Scientifically correct but not significant.	
5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?	Fair.	
6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.		
(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)		
Minor REVISION comments	Not satisfactory	
1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments	The abstract is very poor	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes. Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Nweze Basil Obasi
Department, University & Country	Ebonyi State University, Nigeria