

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100374
Title of the Manuscript:	“Effect of Addition of Strawberry Pulp on Sensory Attributes of Kulfi”
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ The article is interesting. The purpose of this study was to see how the addition of strawberry pulp affected the sensory properties of Kulfi. ❖ The title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article. ❖ The abstract offers an accurate summary about the results. However, the aim of the study needs to be rephrased for more clarity. ❖ Please rearrange keywords alphabetically. ❖ The manuscript is well designed and appropriate in terms of structure and organization. ❖ The manuscript is scientifically outstanding. ❖ More and recent references should be added in the introduction. ❖ Both additions and corrections were outlined in red color. ❖ Introduction: It should include three aspects (the background, the significance of the study, and the third aspect is the aim of the study). It is noted that the introduction needs to be reformulated as it lacks these aspects. Besides, lines 5-13 are preferred to be included in the abstract for more clarity. ❖ Figures 1, 2 are not mentioned in the text. ❖ Tables: comprehensive and clear. 	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ English needs to be improved 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ❖ NON 	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Manal Hadi Ghaffoori Kanaan
Department, University & Country	Technical Institute of Suwaria, Middle Technical University, Iraq