

## Review Form 1.7

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | International Journal of Environment and Climate Change  |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_IJECC_100366  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Influence of Organic Nutrient Sources and Inorganic Fertility levels on Nutrient uptake of Aerobic Rice during Kharif Season at Southern Telangana Agroclimatic Zone, India. |
| Type of the Article      |  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|   | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?<br/>(Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable?<br/>(If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p> | <p>The manuscript has some important information to the scientific community but without reflecting yield of aerobic rice, uptake of nutrients alone not beneficial to the society.</p> <p>The title is suitable but botanical name of rice should be added. One trial cannot represent whole agro-climatic zone of south Telengana, so it may be deleted from the title.</p> <p>The abstract was not comprehensive as one cannot understand properly about sowing of aerobic rice. Upland direct seeded or lowland?</p> <p>Subsections of manuscript is not appropriate. Within a single paragraph whole methodology should be written.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct but corrections are needed.</p> <p>References are sufficient, few recent references for the last three years may be added. Number of corrections are suggested in references in red letters.</p> <p>1. Figures may be deleted. 2. Tables number can be reduced by using two years pooled data. 3. The materials methods may be more comprehensive and briefer. 4. In references years, spelling should be corrected. 5. Those references are marked with red colour should be taken care of.</p> |   |
| <p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>  | <p>There is a scope of improving languages in the manuscript.</p>   |   |
| <p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>   | <p>The manuscript needs to be revised with all corrections.</p>   |   |

### PART 2:

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> |   |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

|                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name:                            | <b>Tankeswar Gohain</b>  |
| Department, University & Country | <b>School of Agricultural Sciences, Nagaland University, India</b> |