

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100366
Title of the Manuscript:	Influence of Organic Nutrient Sources and Inorganic Fertility levels on Nutrient uptake of Aerobic Rice during Kharif Season at Southern Telangana Agroclimatic Zone, India.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This article was well written, with the aim clearly stated and supported by the review of relevant literature. The experimental design was robust enough to investigate the aim of the study. Furthermore, the methods used in the NPK analyses are all acceptable, and I have no further concerns.</p> <p>However, I have a few concerns that can be fixed to increase the value of this manuscript:</p> <p>1. Try to include few updated literatures of 2020, 2021, and 2022 in introduction and discussion section, thanks.</p> <p>2. Please consider to choose the figure or table because the data present overlap between them. I recommend the authors should use the Figures in the Results and Discussion section and Tables should put in the Supplementary section.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes. The manuscript is written in scientific way.	
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Le Van Dang
Department, University & Country	Can Tho University, Vietnam