

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	International Journal of Environment and Climate Change
Manuscript Number:	Ms_IJECC_100041
Title of the Manuscript:	A Review Combination of fungicide and polymer coating and packaging materials on improve germination rate and improve uniformity of seedling emergence of different seeds during storage
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalijecc.com/index.php/IJECC/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is of great importance because it tried to synthesize ideas, results, and findings of so many research articles to yield insights into the roles of fungicide and polymer coating and packaging materials, which is good for other researchers to find information.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is already good.</p> <p>3. The abstract is already good.</p> <p>4. Yes, it is.</p> <p>5. This manuscript is very beneficial in scientific terms because of gathering various information to provide understanding of the ways to prepare good seeds for planting.</p> <p>6. In terms of references, the writer cited so many references; it is marvellous. However, in the first two paragraphs of the introduction, there are no references, which can weaken the supporting statement for the manuscript. It is suggested that the writer should find more references to support that.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The author has shown a very good command of English writing, but there is a small mistake related to the way of starting words for a new sentence. The author was frequently confused to write small-case letters rather than capitalizing the first letter.</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript should be accepted for publication because it is trying to find the way to improve germination rates, which can lead to high crop survival and to increase yield in the end.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Hin Lyhour
Department, University & Country	Royal University of Agriculture, Cambodia