

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_102004
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND NUTRIENT ADEQUACY OF FOOD CONSUMED BY COMMERCIAL DRIVERS IN ABEOKUTA SOUTH LOCAL GOVT AREA, OGUN STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalejns.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Assuming that most lines of research are interesting, this work contributes to local science. It presents a complete, objective, integrative and relevant study for the local population.</p> <p>The title is appropriate. Objective and with efficient descriptors.</p> <p>The summary is correct. Describe in a simple way all the intention and expression of the research.</p> <p>The work is intentionally distributed, to facilitate the interpretation and the search for the results are in evidence.</p> <p>By presenting a research with scientific data in base, a little old, the work is correct.</p> <p>The work is in accordance with the chosen purpose, fulfilling its social and scientific function.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>It's suitable.</p> <p>Objective and without interference.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Pedro Henrique Silva de Rossi</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Brazil</b>