

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	European Journal of Nutrition & Food Safety
Manuscript Number:	Ms_EJNFS_102004
Title of the Manuscript:	NUTRITIONAL STATUS AND NUTRIENT ADEQUACY OF FOOD CONSUMED BY COMMERCIAL DRIVERS IN ABEOKUTA SOUTH LOCAL GOVT AREA, OGUN STATE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalejnf.com/index.php/EJNFS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>1. This manuscript is important for the scientific community especially to design behavioural change messages for long distance drivers. Appropriate diet is critical for the quality of life for the drivers, which ultimately has impact on road use. Poor dietary outcomes affect families, communities and countries at large due to the economic contribution of this occupation.</p> <p>2. Title is suitable.</p> <p>3. Abstract is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. Subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate.</p> <p>5. The study techniques used were suitable for the outcome variable. The nutrition assessment methods were appropriate for the age category. The author may however consider; i) categorizing ages as <60yrs and > or=60yrs, as this would also cater for the different nutrient needs/requirements according to age. Therefore the analysis would be done according to the different age categories (refer to RDA of men, different age categories). ii) consider analysis for Vit. D, long distance drivers may have limited exposure to sunlight, or food sources of Vit. D. iii) the author mentions long distance commercial drivers, highlight if difference in distance of routes had a significant impact to nutrient intake.</p> <p>6. References are sufficient.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Language suitable, however the author should make minor grammatical corrections.	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	An insightful piece of work, especially on the less researched population for nutrition studies.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Kansiime Noel
Department, University & Country	Mbarara University Teaching Hospital, Uganda