

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_99422
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTS OF SIDEWALL BROODING POLYMER COVER COLOURS ON THE INDOOR CLIMATIC CONDITION AND PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE OF BROILER CHICKENS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestions of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>The manuscript is important for the scientific community.</p> <p>The title of the article is suitable.</p> <p>The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>The subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>The manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and recent.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<p>The manuscript investigated the impact of the color of the brooding wall on the physiology of chicks; the white color was found to be most conducive and expensive, but the green color seems to be good and affordable by farmers.</p> <p>The manuscript is lacking inferential statistical tests, the conclusion that there is no significant difference in the brooding condition provided by the colored walls is a mere assertion. The authors should back up the finding with test statistics.</p> <p>In addition, few grammatical errors were encountered during the review process, the manuscript should be grammar checked thoroughly.</p> <p>Moreover, the objective of the research should be clearly stated in the last paragraph of the introduction.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>Good</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

#### Reviewer Details:

Name:	Stephen Ndubuisi Nnamchi
Department, University & Country	Kampala International University, Uganda