

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_CJAST_101508</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Nutritional Profiling &amp; proximate analysis of Developed Vegan Honey Tender Bamboo Shoots Murabba</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Original Research Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjust.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li><b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li><b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></li> <li><b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></li> <li><b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></li> <li><b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Yes, it can be.</b></li> <li><b>The title is hardly understandable, because e.g. murabba is a special foodname. It is better to identify based on the component and processing.</b></li> <li><b>The abstract is very comprehensive, but in some description is missing, e.g. the treatments. The abstract contain more statements missed from the Results and Discussion chapter.</b></li> <li><b>No, e.g. description of treatments (T1 T5), and of the sensory evaluation, description of vegan honey and the jaggery, their purchase, and murabba's description are missing from introduction, materials and Methods chapter. The abstract lacks proof of purpose: "The aim was to produce the highest-quality desserts using less expensive ingredients while maintaining a high nutritional profile." Words are not identified: e.g. spread factor of the murabba. Flow chart of Preparation of vegan honey tender bamboo shoots murabba: is not in proper chapter. Results of sensory evaluation is missing. The Results and Discussion chapter contain many tables and figures and short text from the same data. The Introduction chapter contains no references, but contain text about the results. Crude fiber determination is uncomplete about the identification of "Fiber plus", Calculation of carbohydrate misses a substraction signal, description of energy calculation needs revision.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, it is.</b></li> <li><b>Yes, they are recent, but not referred in the Introduction chapter. They can be sufficient.</b></li> </ol> <p><b>It is advisable to present the data in one table or figure and strong revision of the manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></li> </ol>	<p>The manuscript needs strong revision from the point of view of technical and professional language.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Judit Tarek-Tilistyak</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Agricultural and Molecular Research and Service Institute, University of Nyíregyháza, Hungary</b>