

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_99990
Title of the Manuscript:	Coins of the contemporary period of Afghanistan
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>This research study about Islamic period coins and the application of some signs and symbols used in different Islamic periods and civilizations. Furthermore, it also studies the trends and characteristics of the coins of this period in connection with the expansion of the Islamic religion to other civilizations and cultures.</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>The abstract of the article is too broad and short. It needs to be expanded further to include the purpose of the study and the obtained outcome.</p> <p>The subsections and the structure of the manuscript is so much confusing.</p> <p>The article contains only fewer references which does not include the past 7 years of published articles. The research article must cite the past 3 years of the research publication in the specified topic.</p> <p>The findings of the study and the answer to the research questions framed at the introduction section is missing. The findings of the study must be included in the article by pointing out the limitations and future enhancements to be made. Inclusion of recent reference is mandatory.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Yes	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	The research study carried out in the article is effective. However, the content of the article must be restructured for better understanding. Moreover, the article misses the main part of the research such as findings from the study and the answers to the research questions framed. It also misses the recent publications related to the study.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Sathya Bama
Department, University & Country	India