

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_99514
Title of the Manuscript:	CAUSES AND PSYCHO-SOCIAL EFFECTS OF CHILD TRAFFICKING IN NORTH WOLLO ZONE
Type of the Article	Original

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The manuscript discuss about a very timely and significant societal issues. It can serve as reference material for further study and analysis. It can add to the body of knowledge and can help policy makers in creating more relevant and responsive policies or laws to address the problems on child trafficking.</li> <li>The title of the study is suitable to the content of the paper.</li> <li>Comprehensive discussion of the content of the article is reflected in the abstract.</li> <li>The structure and presentations of the sub sections are clear and suitable,</li> <li>The manuscripts follow a detailed and systematic procedure of data gathering thus it is scientifically correct.</li> <li>The review of literature is adequate and relevant, the cited studies to support the current findings are recent and significant to strengthen its claim.</li> </ul>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	The author may consider consistency in the use of correct grammar and use of 1 <sup>st</sup> person or 3 <sup>rd</sup> person in presenting the data.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Leah C. Navarro</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Cavite State University, Philippines</b>