

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Asian Plant Research Journal |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_APRJ_100563 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | New and noteworthy records of Angiosperms from Pacific Biogeographical Region at department of Chocó in Colombia, including four new records for the country |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>The results of this study are useful to the botanical community by contributing to the understanding of plant diversity in Colombia and for further biological research or conservation planning.</p> <p>The title is appropriate and precise, and the summary of the article is comprehensive. For research articles describing new recorded plants, it is also appropriate to have subsections and structures of the manuscript.</p> <p>Upon a careful examination of the cited specimens, descriptions of plant morphology, etc., I am convinced that the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>Reference citations are appropriate, but there can be many formatting errors that need to be carefully corrected by referring to the journal reference template.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The language/English quality of the article need to be improved</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | <p>Follow author guidelines</p> | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Genshen Yin |
| Department, University & Country | School of Agriculture & Life Sciences, Kunming University, China |