

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_100689
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparative study of secondary thrombotic microangiopathies associated with anticancer treatments in patients receiving gemcitabine and anti-VEGF
Type of the Article	Data Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	This is a descriptive case series study, not a comparative analytical study Not suitable, the suggestion is; (The use of secondary thrombotic microangiopathies with anticancer treatment in patients receiving gemcitabine and anti-VEGF; case series study). No No No Most of references are not recent.	
<b>Minor REVISION</b> comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	Little bit	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Moayad Aziz Alabdaly</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Al-Noor University College, Iraq</b>