

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_101423
Title of the Manuscript:	DETECTION OF FACE AND RECOGNITION OF INTERVIEWEE USING TRANSFORM TECHNIQUE AND MACHINLE LEARNING ALGORITHM
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u>	<p>The title effectively communicates the topic and approach used in the research, which may be valuable to readers interested in face detection and recognition using these specific techniques. However, the thorough evaluation through experiments and discussion is very inadequate.</p> <p>The manuscript discusses the use of the Haar cascade algorithm for face detection and the Local Binary Pattern Histogram (LBPH) algorithm for face recognition. No description of Haar cascade and LBPH is given. No formula!!!!</p> <p>The proposed approach does not seem to meet the objective of the established study, What do you mean by the pre-trained dataset</p> <p>The paper is well written, however, there are some minor orthographical errors and punctuation mistake. I suggest the authors to carefully go through their manuscript. Rewrite sentence using active voice. In today's environment, highly intelligent modern technology is all around people. Rewrite sentence. However, [29] demonstrated that the correlation between photographs of the entire faces was ineffective for achieving good recognition performance.</p>	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Bentaieb Samia
Department, University & Country	University of Ain Temouchent Belhadj Bouchaib, Algeria