

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJRCOS_101215 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | The Impact of Learning Management System on Student Academic Performance of Computer Science Department of Federal Polytechnic Kaura Namoda Zamfara State |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>This manuscript is short, but the topic is important for scientific community. The writing is correct and clear.</p> <p>About the references, they are some few and old.</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? | It is good. | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | I think this manuscript needs to improve the methodology. | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | |

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|--|
| Name: | María Luisa Flores Urpe |
| Department, University & Country | National University of San Marcos, Peru |