

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRCOS_101215
Title of the Manuscript:	The Impact of Learning Management System on Student Academic Performance of Computer Science Department of Federal Polytechnic Kaura Namoda Zamfara State
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. Yes. The study concluded that LMS has improved the academic performance of a student of the Department of Computer Science at Federal Polytechnic Kaura Namoda Zamfara State Nigeria. Their scores were higher than they were before, and at the same time, the duration of the study had also improved significantly. They now understand why studying is academic and collaborative. This is an important motive for demonstrating its practical contribution through the recommendations concerned with developing the education mechanism in the academic field</p> <p>2. yes</p> <p>3. clear. The abstract reflected all the main aspects of the research</p> <p>4. No, where you need a previous studies section</p> <p>5. No, because it needs a section of previous studies, not an introduction, and then this methodology is not one of the basics of scientific research</p> <p>6. References are few and outdated</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	Need proofreading and restructuring of paragraphs	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Mahmoud Alghizzawi
Department, University & Country	Amman Arab University, Jordan