

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Research in Computer Science</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJRCOS_101214</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Designing and Implementation of Academic Based Network Software for Federal Polytechnic Kaura Namoda</b>
Type of the Article	<b>Review Article</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrcos.com/index.php/AJRCOS/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p><b>2. Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p><b>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p><b>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p><b>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p><b>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></b></p>	<p><b>1. Yes, this manuscript is important for scholar's community.</b></p> <p><b>2. yes</b></p> <p><b>3. yes, sufficient</b></p> <p><b>4. yes appropriate</b></p> <p><b>5. yes</b></p> <p><b>6. yes, references are sufficient.</b></p>	
<p><b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments</p> <p><b>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>Yes. languages are good.</p>	
<p><b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments</p>	<p>The title chosen by the author(s) is very effective, technically correct and clearly defined. The materials and methods used in the research paper are satisfactory and appropriate and technically accurate.</p> <p>Parameters are provided for an acceptable description of the methods and are helpful to another researcher. The data is adequate and clearly explained. Important and recent references have been given in the research article.</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

	<p>The discussion and conclusion are based on authentic facts and figures. Adequate and appropriate statistical analysis has been done by the author(s). The conclusion is lively and supported by the data discussed inside the manuscript.</p> <p>Standardized reference system and adequate references are presented.</p>	
--	--	--

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vijay Kumar Bharati</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Mahatma Gandhi Kashi Vidyapith, India</b>