

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Agriculture and Forestry
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRAF_100604
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of Pretilachor pyribenzoxim Pollution on the Water Quality, Serum Biochemical indices, and Behavioural Response of Oreochromis niloticus Juveniles
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajraf.com/index.php/AJRAF/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>It's a hot topic right now for scientific community.</li> <li>The content is in line with the title of the manuscript.</li> <li>The abstract of the article is comprehensive but Methodology and results concerning Effects of Pretilachor pyribenzoxim (PP) contamination on the behavioural of <i>O. Niloticus</i> have been investigated are absent.</li> <li>subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</li> <li>The manuscript is scientifically correct</li> <li>references are sufficient and recent.</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	English quality of the article are suitable for scholarly communications but we found some sentences too long.	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Anvo Morgane Paul M</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>National Agricultural Research Centre, Research Station on Inland Fisheries and Aquaculture, Côte d'Ivoire</b>