

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_100504
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Evaluation Model Based on the Environmental Impact of Saihanba
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. (Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)	Yes Yes Yes Yes More references of recent work may be added. Atleast the paper shall contain 15 references in total. Only 3 references are recent. Other Remarks: 1. No Table contain values/content. So, correlating the discussions becomes difficult. The complete table may be provided to review the paper. 2. Only 5 references are cited inside the text. All the references shall be cited.	
Minor REVISION comments 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?	To some extent	
Optional/General comments	In section 3 and overall, the acronyms (AHP, TOPSIS, etc.) may be expanded and the variables in an equation (e.g. CI, RI, CR, etc.) may be explained.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	S. Thangalakshmi
Department, University & Country	School of Marine Engineering and Technology, Indian Maritime University, India