

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Probability and Statistics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJPAS_100504
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comprehensive Evaluation Model Based on the Environmental Impact of Saihanba
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajpas.com/index.php/AJPAS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>The manuscript is important has it gives the information of the how to objectively evaluate the great impact of the restoration of Saihanba forest farm on the ecological environment</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>Abstract can be elaborated</p> <p>No has the manuscript has not concluded the work so conclusion part has to be involved</p> <p>Yes</p> <p>References looks fine</p> <p>Write the full forms first & then their abbreviations</p> <p>You can't use we, they & all in the Journal publications</p> <p>Coverage area (10,000 mu) meaning?</p> <p>How do you measure carbon dioxide absorption?</p> <p>Properly write the table 2</p> <p>Write the equation numbers</p> <p>How do you establish AHP model any reference?</p> <p>$y = -0.417 + 0.164 \times Pr\ obit$ how you arrived at this?</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	English can be improved	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	Conclusion has to be elaborated	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Prathik S Jain
Department, University & Country	Dayananda Sagar College of Engineering, India