

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Biology</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJOB_99511</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>MANGROVE FOREST OF ROSWAR ISLAND, IN THE NATIONAL PARK OF CENDERAWASIH BAY, THE PROVINCE OF WEST PAPUA</b>
Type of the Article	<b>ORIGINAL RESEARCH ARTICLE</b>

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajob.com/index.php/AJOB/editorial-policy> )

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. <b>The manuscript is important for scientific community as:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The findings of this study useful to Forest department, researchers, environmentalists and policymakers.</li> <li>This article discusses about condition of mangrove ecosystems by calculating the Important Value Index (IVI). This IVI provides an overview of the influence or the role of a type of mangrove plant in an area and helps in conservation of mangrove species.</li> <li>Various statistical tools used are helpful for the scientific community in future research.</li> </ul> <p>2. The title of the article is suitable, very well written and justifying the contents of the whole manuscript.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive and include everything which is required in the abstract.</p> <p>4. The subsections and structure of the manuscript is appropriate and to the point.</p> <p>5. Yes, I think the manuscript is scientifically correct and fulfil all the requirements of the good scientific publication.</p> <p>6. There is need to add more references in the discussion part to support the study and should be in proper format.</p> <p><b>ADDITIONAL SUGGESTIONS/COMMENTS</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><b>Add map of the study area</b></li> <li>Need to improve the results and discussion part</li> <li>Provide the description of study area and also add the map of the study area in this section</li> <li>Add references to support your study</li> <li>Follow all the comments mention in the manuscript.</li> <li>Cross check the references in the text and add all the references cited in the text as per the format.</li> <li>Cross check the references with the above text.</li> <li><b>Follow the same reference format through the text.</b></li> <li>Kindly follow all the comments given in the manuscript.</li> </ul> <p><b>Add all the comments in your manuscript.</b></p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the article is suitable for scholarly communications but it will be good if language of the manuscript is improved and results should be written in a more refined way. Further suggestions are to check all the spellings and use comma's, space etc. properly in the whole document.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Title is written very well, impressive and informative.</li> <li>Abstract is also qualitative and directly shows the research importance.</li> <li>Introduction is comprehensively written.</li> <li>Whole manuscript is very knowledgeable.</li> </ul>	

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Kartikey Sahil</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>College of Forestry, Dr Yashwant Singh Parmar University of Horticulture and Forestry, India</b>