

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medical Principles and Clinical Practice
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMPCP_100923
Title of the Manuscript:	Use of ketamine for sedation and analgesia in the emergency department : Systematic review
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmpcp.com/index.php/AJMPCP/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Manuscript is important for scientific community it shares the clinical experience about the ketamine usefulness for procedural sedation in emergency department</p> <p>2. Title of article is not suitable. It is not a review article. It is the prospective clinical study. Title can be like this: clinical experience about the ketamine usefulness for procedural sedation in emergency department.</p> <p>3. Induction of abstract must be concerned on the theme of article - Ketamine use in ED I can not understand what it means: "The study cohort's average age was 37 15 years" Maybe authors want to say that average age was 37 ±15 years?</p> <p>4. In materials and methods is needing the doses of ketamine, numbers of patients involved. Here is not needing "Sample size calculation: A double-blind randomized controlled trial conducted by Lemon et al. in 2017 indicated that 18% of adverse reactions to ketamine (such as nausea or vomiting) were attributable to the drug. The needed size of the study sample, with a 6% precision and a 95% confidence interval, was around 160 participants". In results patient characteristics and ketamine adverse effects are necessary. This information is in discussion.</p> <p>5. Manuscript is not scientifically correct because it is not written correctly</p> <p>6. References are sufficient but each of them must be mentioned in article</p>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	Language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	Article is needing to write newly. Theme of the article is interesting	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Vakhtang Shoshiashvili</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Tbilisi State Medical University, Georgia</b>