

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_98987
Title of the Manuscript:	Myocarditis during SARS-CoV-2 infection: about 15 cases
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajmah.com/index.php/AJMAH/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>1. Certainly, the manuscript is important in providing knowledge for healthcare team about clinical presentation related to Cov-2 infection.</p> <p>2. The author have to omit the word about from the title . There is no approximation in number of cases . Myocarditis during SARS-CoV-2 infection in adults</p> <p>3. The abstract lack the conclusion of the study</p> <p>4. The structure of manuscript contains many subsections which confuse the reader. It's better to summarize the data regarding demographics, medical history, COVID presentation, applied diagnostics, treatment methods and outcome in table to be more informative.</p> <p>5. The scientific contents of manuscript accepted . However the author have to concern all mentioned and highlighted points of revision .</p> <p>6. Additional references is required , and all references must be written in a uniformed format</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>		
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Amal Ajaweed Sulaiman</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Baghdad College of Medical Sciences, Iraq</b>