

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Medicine and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJMAH_100506
Title of the Manuscript:	Analysis of Knowledge, Actions, and Culture on the Low Coverage of Exclusive Breastfeeding
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajarr.com/index.php/AJARR/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.7

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> <p>KOMENTAR REVISI WAJIB</p>	<p>1. The results of this study are significant, as information for mothers who should provide the best nutrition for their babies aged 0-6 months.</p> <p>2. I suggest the research title "The Relationship of Knowledge, Action, and Culture on Low Coverage of Exclusive Breastfeeding"</p> <p>3. It is better if the abstract follows the IMRAD Method (Introduction, Method, Result and Discussion). In the abstract, there is no Introduction. Research conclusions related to research results, different from suggestions.</p> <p>4. in accordance</p> <p>5. Correct. The criteria for selecting the article are correct, but it is necessary to study the article more deeply so that the gaps that occur in the discussion of variable analysis are conveyed.</p> <p>6. Saran untuk menambahkan artikel ini (Novita, R. V. T., Utami, T. A., Marni, N. W., & Yusandra, E. (2021). The Effectiveness of Duration Skin to Skin Contact and Telelactation in Exclusive Breastfeeding for Postpartum Mothers in Tangerang. <i>Jurnal Aisyah: Jurnal Ilmu Kesehatan</i>, 6(4), 739-746.). with link https://aisyah.journalpress.id/index.php/jika/article/view/6424</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	quite appropriate	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>In section 2.7.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Mothers' actions or attitudes can be conveyed in a positive way, and mothers also seek help. - Discussion about culture needs a reference. How is the culture in certain areas, for example, Aceh. There is a culture of feeding mashed bananas, mothers are not allowed to bathe before 40 days. 	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Tuti Asrianti Utami
Department, University & Country	Sint Carolus School of Health Sciences, Indonesia