

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Language, Literature and Culture Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJL2C_101966
Title of the Manuscript:	The Chiasmic Gaze in Warsan Shire's poem, "Backwards"
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajl2c.com/index.php/AJL2C/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> This write up seems significant and also reflects issues faced by African black women. The title of this paper is eye-catching one. However, the term 'Chiasmic Gaze' of the poem needs to be more clarified. Abstract is very well written. It sums up the overall theme of the paper. However, it is suggested to keep key words up to five words. There may be added some more captions like research methodology, theoretical framework, and original script of the poem (https://www.poetryfoundation.org/poems/90734/backwards). As for as analysis part is concerned that has to be analysed stanza by stanza. There are 10 references in total that seems acceptable. Most of references are latest expect these two, [3] & [7], which maybe replaced with last five to ten years. 	
<p><u>Minor</u> REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	The language is plain but some of the words are not frequent words so readers may have to take help some other sources.	
<p><u>Optional/General</u> comments</p>	Over all, I appreciate the efforts of the author(s). However, I suggest there may be some more main and sub headings so that the study investigate research gap. Further, there is a heading of study aim which may be replaced with aim of the study. Moreover, it may be much better when the original poem is analysed in terms of chiasmic gaze perspective.	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Asadullah Balouch
Department, University & Country	Shaheed Benazir Bhutto University, Pakistan