

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_101349
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF THE CHARACTERISTICS OF SLUM IN THE CORE OF AKURE, NIGERIA
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This article needs some improvements to express properly the method utilized, as well as to highlight the scientific contribution.</p> <p>The core matter of this paper is important for sustainability, as well as the measures that could be developed in consequence.</p> <p>The title should be improved. the title could be elaborated.</p> <p>Yes, it is. However, an introductory phrase talking about the main matter of the paper is desired.</p> <p>Yes, it is. The organization of the sections and subsections is appropriate. However, the ideas of how the paper was developed needs organization, to permit a clear and smooth lecture.</p> <p>No, they are not. They need to be improved considering more recent sources, as well as increasing the number of them. A revision of relevant scientific papers worldwide is desired.</p> <p>The objective of the paper needs to be emphasised in the introductory section. Mentioning the research hypothesis is desired. Some concepts to understand the subsections in the results section need to be mentioned. A flowchart describing the method followed to accomplish the research objective is desired. A description of the survey applied is expected.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The quality of the English grammar needs to be improved.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

**Review Form 1.7**

**PART 2:**

	<b>Reviewer's comment</b>	<b>Author's comment</b> <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Jorge González Farías</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro, Brasil</b>