

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Geographical Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJGR_101004
Title of the Manuscript:	Relief Morphometry of Nayaseri Watershed (A Case Study in Northern Slopes of Shimla, Himachal Pradesh, India)
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajgr.com/index.php/AJGR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</li> <li>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</li> <li>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</li> <li>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</li> <li>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</li> <li>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</li> </ol> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. This manuscript is quite good and important because it concerns the cultural heritage of a nation. Relief must be developed as a cultural landscape for sustainable development. But to publish it needs a fairly extensive improvement.</li> <li>2. The title is good enough</li> <li>3. The abstract needs improvement to make it easier to read and understand. Please abstract content plus clearly written objectives, methods or analysis, results, and suggestions</li> <li>4. a. Introduction There are no references to previous research. References are needed so that novelty manuscripts can be identified b. The method is not clear, please explain how to get data and how to process qualitative or quantitative data. c. Results and Discussion are not clear, explain the results of the data obtained and how the results of the data analysis are d. The conclusion needs to be added to suggestions for sustainable relief preservation e. The images are not all clear, please replace them with clearer images</li> <li>5. Yes is correct, but needs extensive improvement</li> <li>6. References are lacking and ancient. Need to add references from international journals up to date in the last 5 years</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Minor REVISION</b> comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</li> </ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. I'm not competent enough to correct</li> </ol>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

**Review Form 1.7**

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Muhtar</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University Muhammadiyah of Jember, Indonesia</b>