

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFRN_101452
Title of the Manuscript:	Formula of Mustard (Brassica juncea L) Stick Fortified Mung Bean (Vigna radiata) Flour
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>YES</p> <p>As wheat flour is used in major portion why the name mustard stick is used for the snack.</p> <p>YES. But why no % of ash and minerals is given.</p> <p>Yes, but still the author could have given the materials and method of preparation in more detail. As egg is included in the recipe will it not contribute to the protein content? How did the author arrive at the statement that it is due to the use of mustard paste? Partially.</p> <p>Reference section to be rewritten in alphabetical order.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>YES</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>The author should correct the highlighted portions in the article. Clarity is needed on the water content of the snack prepared. Still more clear view on the recipe of the prepared snack in methodology section is needed.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	M. R. Suchitra
Department, University & Country	Sastra University, India