

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	<b>Asian Journal of Food Research and Nutrition</b>
Manuscript Number:	<b>Ms_AJFRN_100860</b>
Title of the Manuscript:	<b>Role of organic certification in assuring clients of the authenticity and quality of organic products</b>
Type of the Article	

### **General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

<https://journalajfrn.com/index.php/AJFRN/editorial-policy>

## Review Form 1.7

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>This research is quite interesting even if the basis for discussion was limited to a few papers. Generally, the author describes the importance of organic agriculture and products and the role of the available basis (organic certification) in identifying and separating these products from the conventional ones, to ensuring authenticity, trust and transparency.</p> <p>This is a small study; therefore, the outcome cannot be generalized for instance on a global scale. It is therefore important that the title reflects the geographical boundaries of the study. The title may be reframed as a case study or by simply introducing the study area into the title. Generally, the title is good.</p> <p>The abstract does not clearly communicate the problem to the reader from the beginning.</p> <p>I think the subsections are okay but I will suggest that the introduction begins by elaborating on organic certification. Explanations of organic agriculture should be presented after. I noticed that the title of tables was written below, this should be written above. The first paragraph under "Results and discussions" should be revised or components moved to methodology. The conclusion section is not another discussion section hence the writer should be more concise and provide summaries of the findings</p> <p>I think the manuscript is acceptable scientifically. Relevant sections were included. I think more elaboration can be made on the statistical approach used in the sampling of individual participants and also secondary information used in this study.</p> <p>I think the references are okay except for a few which seemed to be very old "1981". More recent publications may be cited together with an old one if authors seek to acknowledge the original source of certain methodologies and principles.</p> <p>Some abbreviations were introduced Without definitions. E.g FSC. I suggest that the full name of all abbreviation are written the first time that the appreciation is introduced in the write-up</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>The author should be consistent with the language, if British English then it should be British throughout. Some grammatical errors were identified and highlighted in the manuscript</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>	<p>The author should clearly explain this paper including what organic certification means, the characteristics of the sampled group for the study (some demographics) and the geographical limits of the outcome.</p>	

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

### Reviewer Details:

Name:	<b>Richard Kwame Dogbey</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>University for Development Studies, Ghana</b>