

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_101796
Title of the Manuscript:	EFFECTIVENESS OF LIGHT USING ON THE NUMBER OF BAGAN RAMBO'S CATCHES IN BARRU DISTRICT
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This research is important in the scientific community. Many similar studies have been carried out at the same location but no differences have been shown.</p> <p>EFFECTIVENESS OF LIGHT BULB NUMBER USED ON GIANT LIFT NET CATCHES IN BARRU DISTRICT (title suggestion)</p> <p>The research is too simple, the research background is not clear why this research was carried out, the problem is not clear, there is very little information on the results of previous research, even though a lot of research has been done at that location.</p> <p>The research method used was a survey, but it was not clear how many samples were observed, how to select samples. Data analysis is not clearly outlined.</p> <p>The results of the study explained the composition of the catch, but the data were not shown. Data table 1 Figures 2 and 3 are not clear.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>The structure of the English language still needs to be improved, some still use Indonesian</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Najamuddin
Department, University & Country	Hasanuddin University, Indonesia