

## Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_100937
Title of the Manuscript:	Reproductive Biology of Pialy fish, <i>Aspidoparia jaya</i> (Hamilton, 1822) in Bangladesh
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is the manuscript important for scientific community?</b> (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. <b>Is the title of the article suitable?</b> (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. <b>Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</b></p> <p>4. <b>Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</b></p> <p>5. <b>Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</b></p> <p>6. <b>Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</b></p> <p><b>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</b></p>	<p>Yes, the information provided in the manuscript will be helpful for sustainable fishery management of <i>A. jaya</i> in its original habitat. In addition, it would be effective for fisheries experts to implement regulations for the control of over-exploitation, which will ensure the sustainable management of this species in open water bodies of Bangladesh.</p> <p>Yes, it is self-explanatory.</p> <p>Yes. It is short and covers the Zeist of the manuscript.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is having sections and subsections at appropriate places.</p> <p>Yes.</p> <p>Yes, references are recent, but there should be one pattern while writing the references. Also, many references are very old , it should not be more than 10 year old.</p>	
<p><b>Minor</b> REVISION comments</p> <p>1. <b>Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</b></p>	<p>1. There some punctuation and grammatical errors throughout the manuscript.</p>	
<p><b>Optional/General</b> comments</p>		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p><b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b></p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

[Review Form 1.7](#)

**Reviewer Details:**

Name:	<b>Nancy Sheoran</b>
Department, University & Country	<b>Lala Lajpat Rai University of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, India</b>