

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Research
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJFAR_100582
Title of the Manuscript:	Feasibility of Fishing Business with Purse Seine in Gentuma, North Gorontalo, Indonesia
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajfar.com/index.php/AJFAR/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>This manuscript is not very important in the world of fisheries and is difficult because it only writes a case study of one of the ships, namely KM Inka Mina 730.</p> <p>Suggest for the title of article : Feasibility analysis for the purse seine fishery in Gentuma, North Gorontalo, Indonesia</p> <p>Yes, it only needs to be added regarding general conclusions</p> <p>Yes, subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct</p> <p>Yes, the references sufficient and recent</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>English language quality is quite good for scholarly communications</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>It is necessary to add statement regarding fishing aids (fish aggregating device and light) which are an important factor in purse seine fishing gear so that they become more complete, and good at financing, fishing aids have also been included in the calculations, editorial improvements, addition of written sentence references and conclusions adapted to the purpose</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Roza Yusfiandayani
Department, University & Country	IPB University, Indonesia