

**Original Research Article**  
**Feasibility of Fishing Business with Purse  
Seine in Gentuma, North Gorontalo, Indonesia**

---

**ABSTRACT**

The Purse Seine becomes a toolfishing gear commonly used by fishermen in Gentuma, North Gorontalo. A business feasibility analysis needs to be carried out to assess business opportunities to consider whether the business is feasible to continue or not. The components analyzed include Payback Period, Revenue Cost Ratio, Break Even Point and Return of Investment. This study aims to analyze the feasibility of the business of fishing for purse boats in North Gorontalo Gentuma. This research is based on a case study on KM. Inka Mina 730 operating in Gentuma waters, North Gorontalo. Based on the calculation of financial analysis indicators on KM. Inka Mina 730, obtained profit/loss analysis results of Rp. 292,432,255, -, R/C Ratio obtained 1.31, Payback Period analysis results of 5, 23 means that to return the investment value it takes an interval of 5 years 2 months 23 days, while the BEP value is Rp. 511,325,165 which shows that the return on production costs when selling fish originating from the catch is Rp. 511.325.165. Then also obtained a BEP (kg) value of 38,912 and a ROI (Return of Investment) calculation of 19%, this explains that purse seine fishing is quite good because ROI is > 15%.

*Keywords: Business Feasibility, Fishing, Gorontalo, Purse Seine*

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Fishermen of Gentuma - North Gorontalo generally catch fish using purse seine vessels, besides being quite simple and easy to operate, this fishing gear is quite effective and environmentally friendly. Ring trawling has been the single most productive fishing gear globally for the last five decades (Breen et al., 2012). A purse seine is a net, usually rectangular in shape, bagless, used to catch schools of surface fish (pelagic fish). Purse seine is a type of fishing gear that belongs to the mobile net group. Purse seine is a fishing gear that is classified as a round net assembly equipped with a corrugated board and a ring that closes the bottom of the net when in use. The function of the fishing nets that are caught is to accommodate the fish so they don't avoid being entangled in the nets when they are surrounded.

Purse seine fisheries generally catch small pelagic fish (Ba et al., 2017; El-Haweet, 2001; Pratasik et al., 2020; Rahmah et al., 2021; Ruiz et al., 2021; Tsagarakis et al., 2012; Uhlmann & Ulrich, 2019). Fish that are the target of the purse seine fishery are pelagic fish that form schools that gather on fishing aids. One of the targets caught by Gentuma fishermen is flying fish (*Decapterus ruselli*), tuna (*Euthynnus affinus*) and skipjack tuna (*Katsuwonus pelamis*). When catching fish with trawls, the principle is that the fish are

surrounded by a net that forms a vertical net in such a way that the horizontal movement of the fish is prevented. The bottom of the net is then compacted so that fish cannot escape to the bottom of the net. The ships used are usually made of wood and vary in size from small 10-30 GT with a 20 HP engine to medium 30-50 GT with a 120 HP engine to large 50-100 GT with an engine power of 300-360 HP.

The financial feasibility assessment of the fishing industry development plan has the objective of assessing whether the activity is feasible to be developed from an economic and business standpoint (Fisu et al., 2020). According to (Choerudin et al., 2022), a business feasibility analysis needs to be carried out to provide an overview of the feasibility of a fishing effort by comparing the benefits and costs including the value of profit and loss, revenue cost ratio (R/C), Payback Period (PP), Return of Investment (RoI) and Break Even Point (BEP). This study aims to analyze the feasibility of fishing using purse seine vessels in Gentuma, North Gorontalo. This research is based on a case study on KM. Inka Mina 730 operating in Gentuma waters, North Gorontalo.

## 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

The method used in this research is descriptive. While the analysis used to assess the feasibility of fishing effort is financial analysis in the form of income, profit, Revenue Cost Ratio (R/C), Payback Period, Return of Investment (RoI) and Break Even Point (Amrain et al., 2019; Harahap et al., 2021; Nurfitriana et al., 2022; Purwoko et al., 2021; Rinaldi et al., 2019; Wijayanto et al., 2019).

## 3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### 3.1 Investment Capital

Investment costs are costs that must be incurred to buy or maintain an investment. These fees can include transaction fees such as brokerage fees, settlement fees, and administration fees. In addition, investment costs can also include costs to maintain investments, such as investment management fees, monitoring fees, and storage fees. Investment costs can significantly affect investment returns, because the higher the investment cost, the lower the return on investment that will be obtained. Therefore, it is important for investors to take into account investment costs when making investment decisions and choose investments with affordable costs and high returns on investment. Business capital/fishery business investment is the cost required to start a business in the fishery sector. These costs depend on the size of the ship's gross tonnage, the larger the ship, the higher the working capital costs that must be incurred. According to (Farida et al., 2019), the investment costs of fishermen's fishing activities include the cost of purchasing boats, engines, fishing gear and other fishing aids.

Investment capital is the initial capital that must be issued to start a business. The amount of capital placed in KM. Inka Mina 730 Rp. 1,530,175,000 consisting of boats, fishing gear and other equipment. Details of investment costs can be seen in table 1.

**Table 1. Investment Capital**

| No | Type | units | Economic<br>age | Price/Unit (Rp) |
|----|------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|
|----|------|-------|-----------------|-----------------|

|                   |               |   |          |               |
|-------------------|---------------|---|----------|---------------|
| 1                 | Viber ship    | 1 | 10 years | 1,000,000,000 |
| 2                 | Catching tool | 1 | 5 years  | 200,000,000   |
| 3                 | Main engine   | 1 | 5 years  | 150,000,000   |
| 4                 | Capstan       | 1 | 5 years  | 8,000,000     |
| 5                 | Generator     | 1 | 5 years  | 3,200,000     |
| 6                 | GPS           | 1 | 5 years  | 8,000,000     |
| 7                 | Radio         | 1 | 5 years  | 12,530,000    |
| 8                 | Compass       | 1 | 5 years  | 235,000       |
| 9                 | Portable VHF  | 2 | 5 years  | 740,000       |
| 10                | Speed boat    | 1 | 5 years  | 7,500,000     |
| Investment amount |               |   |          | 1,530,175,000 |

### 3.2 Fixed Cost

Fixed costs are costs that for a certain period of time have a fixed value that does not depend on the output produced. Fixed costs are types of costs incurred during one year of work, the amount remains unchanged and does not change (Pujianto et al., 2013). Depreciation costs are costs incurred to reduce the value of productive assets in accounting. Productive assets such as buildings, machinery, vehicles and company equipment have a limited useful life and will decrease in value over time. Depreciation is the process of allocating the cost of productive assets to the proper accounting period by systematically reducing the value of productive assets over their useful life. Each accounting period, a portion of the value of productive assets is deducted from the company ledger and charged to the income statement as depreciation expense.

The depreciation methods used by companies may vary, such as the straight-line method, units of production method, or declining balance method. Depreciation charges can affect a company's financial statements, including income statements, balance sheets, and cash flow statements, so it is important for companies to properly account for depreciation charges in their accounting. Calculation of depreciation costs on KM. Inka Mina 730, namely the investment cost per unit divided by the economic life so that the depreciation value in one year is Rp. 179,100,900 (table 2).

**Table 2. One Year Depreciation Expense**

| No | Type          | Price per unit (Rp) | Depreciation 1 year |
|----|---------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| 1  | Viber ship    | 1,000,000,000       | 90,000,000          |
| 2  | Catching tool | 200,000,000         | 36,000,000          |
| 3  | Main Machine  | 150,000,000         | 45,000,000          |
| 4  | Capstan       | 8,000,000           | 1,440,000           |
| 5  | Generator     | 8,000,000           | 1,440,000           |

|              |            |                      |                    |
|--------------|------------|----------------------|--------------------|
| 6            | GPS        | 8,000,000            | 1,440,000          |
| 7            | Radio      | 12,530,000           | 2,255,400          |
| 8            | Compass    | 235,000              | 42,300             |
| 9            | VHF        | 740,000              | 133,200            |
| 10           | Speed boat | 7,500,000            | 1,350,000          |
| <b>Total</b> |            | <b>1,395,005,000</b> | <b>179,100,900</b> |

Ship maintenance costs are costs incurred with the aim of repairing or treating damage to ships, engines and fishing gear. Treatment performed on KM. Inka Mina 730 every four months. This maintenance is very routinely carried out to prevent damage to the ship so that it can support fishing operations, but the maintenance period for the engine cannot be predicted. Following are the maintenance costs incurred (table 3).

**Table 3. Maintenance Costs**

| No                            | maintenance type  | Cost per 4 Months (Rp) | Cost per 1 Year (Rp) |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|----------------------|
| 1                             | Fiber ship        | 2,500,000              | 7,500,000            |
| 2                             | Catching tool     | 5,000,000              | 15,000,000           |
| 3                             | Auxiliary machine | 2,500,000              | 7,500,000            |
| <b>Total Maintenance Cost</b> |                   | <b>10,000,000</b>      | <b>30,000,000</b>    |

Costcare is needed to maintain the continuity of the work of all fishing gear units so that fishing can be carried out without encountering any obstacles. km. Inka Mina 730 performs maintenance 3 times a year with a total annual maintenance cost of Rp. 30,000,000,-.

Fishery Levy Fee is a state levy on the right to exploit or exploit fish resources that must be paid to the government by every person carrying out a business of catching fish or transporting fish. There are several fisheries levies, namely Fisheries Business Fees (PPP) and Fishery Product Levies (PHP). The amount of fishery fees is regulated based on Government Regulation of the Republic of Indonesia Number 38 of 2015. The following is fishery fees for KM. Inka Mina 730 can be seen in table 4.

**Table 4. Cost Of Tax Collection**

| No | Type of Fee  | Fisheries Fee (Rp) |
|----|--|--------------------|
| 1  | PPP (41.650XGT)  | 1,332,800          |
| 2  | PHP=(5%) x Ship productivity x HPIxGT (PP 38 PERMEN KP 2015) | 2,500,224          |

|              |                      |
|--------------|----------------------|
| <b>Total</b> | <b>Rp. 2,857,024</b> |
|--------------|----------------------|

From the description above, the amount of fishery fees in one year on KM. Inka Mina 730 is Rp. 2,857,024,-

### 3.3 Total Fixed Costs

Fixed costs are incurred regularly, either immediately or unsolicited, whereas routine operating expenses must be requested. Fixed costs include total depreciation costs and capital costs, while variable costs include total costs, maintenance costs, auction costs and labor costs (Farida et al., 2019). The details of the total costs are described in Table 5.

**Table 5. Total Fixed Costs**

| No                       | Fee Type             | Total Cost/Year (Rp) |
|--------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| 1                        | Cost of depreciation | 179,100,900          |
| 2                        | Maintenance costs    | 30,000,000           |
| 3                        | Fishing fees         | 2,857,024            |
| <b>Total Fixed costs</b> |                      | <b>209,103,757</b>   |

So the total fixed costs incurred by KM. Inka Mina 730 in a period of one year or 30 trips, which is Rp. 209.130.000,-.

### 3.4. Variable Cost

Variable costs or variable costs are costs that depend directly on the amount of output produced. Variable costs are a type of cost that fluctuates (varies) with the amount of activity. Variable costs include operating or deployment costs, tender costs and labor costs (Pujianto et al., 2013).

#### 3.4.1. Operating costs

The operating costs of a fishing company depend on the size of the vessel, the length of the planned voyage and the number of crew members, the larger the vessel the longer the voyage, the higher the operational costs and vice versa. According to Farida et al. (2019), operational costs are costs incurred to meet operational needs such as diesel, fuel, fresh water and others from preparing the ship on land until the ship returns to shore to load and unload the catch. zero operating costs on KM. The Ink Mina 730 that is issued is not always the same. Following are the operational costs incurred for one year with a total of 30 trips. details of operational costs can be seen in table 6.

**Table 6. One Year Operational Costs**

| No | Types of goods | Total fees/trip(IDR) | Total Cost/1 year (Rp) |
|----|----------------|----------------------|------------------------|
| 1  | Solar          | 2,800,000            | 84.00.000              |
| 2  | Oil            | 640,000              | 190,200,000            |
| 3  | Freshwater     | 350,000              | 10,500,000             |
| 4  | Ice Cube       | 1,500,000            | 45,000,000             |

|                              |              |                  |                    |
|------------------------------|--------------|------------------|--------------------|
| 5                            | Water gallon | 30,000           | 990,000            |
| 6                            | LPG 5.5      | 170,000          | 5,100,000          |
| 7                            | Rice (50 Kg) | 500,000          | 15,000,000         |
| 8                            | Gas          | 350,000          | 10,500,000         |
| 9                            | Amprak Money | 1,200,000        | 36,000,000         |
| <b>Total Operating Costs</b> |              | <b>7,540,000</b> | <b>226,200,000</b> |

Operational costs per trip incurred by KM. Inka Mina 730 is Rp. 7,540,000 then multiplied by the number of trips per year KM. Inka Mina 730, namely 30 trips so that the operational costs per year are incurred by KM. Inka Mina 730 is Rp. 226,200,000,-.

#### **3.4.2. Crew Sharing Costs**

The salary/wages earned by the crew of the KM ship. Inka Mina 730 is divided by a profit sharing system. The size of the crew's profit sharing depends on the income from the catch. The more the catch, the greater the profit for the crew. Crew profit sharing system on KM. Inka Mina 730 is divided after sales proceeds deducted by operational costs. After the results are obtained, then the results are distributed to ship owners and crew members. Where the owner of the ship gets 50% and the crew gets 50% of the sales results minus the operational costs. After knowing the income for one year or 30 trips, which is Rp. 997,357,976, - then one year's income is divided into two, namely 50% for ship owners and 50% for ship crew.

Based on the calculations, it can be seen that the profit sharing for the crew of KM. Inka Mina 730 for one year or 30 trips, namely getting Rp. 498,406,092,-. After the profit sharing is received, the crew members' profit sharing will be distributed according to the position held.

**Table 7. Total Variable Costs**

| <b>No</b>                   | <b>Fee Type</b> | <b>Total Cost (Rp)</b> |
|-----------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|
| 1                           | Operating costs | 226.200.000,-          |
| 2                           | Crew Wages      | 498,678,988,-          |
| <b>Total Cost Not fixed</b> |                 | <b>724,982,745,-</b>   |

The total cost is not fixed in KM. Inka Mina 730 which is assumed to last one year or 30 trips, which is Rp. 724,982,745 can be seen in table 7.

#### **3.5. Total Cost**

The total cost of trawling fishing is strongly influenced by fixed and operational costs both before and after fishing. According to Farida et al. (2019), total cost is obtained from the total cost resulting from the sum of fixed costs (fixed costs) and operational costs (variable costs). Total cost is the total cost incurred by business units during one year of production. Total costs include fixed costs and variable costs. Total cost of fishing business in KM. Inka Mina 730 received for a year or 30 trips, namely Rp. 933,982,745.

### 3.6. Income

According to (Farida et al., 2019), income from the purse seine fishery is unpredictable. Income from capture fisheries is highly uncertain due to changing environmental conditions. Unlike other companies, catch revenues are highly uncertain due to changing water conditions. Fishermen's income is the value of money generated from the sale of fish production.

The total catch of KM. Inka Mina 730 during the research or 12 trips totaled 37,333 kg with total sales of Rp. 490,566,000.- Following are the details of KM's catch. Inka Mina 730 for 12 trips, can be seen in table 8.

**Table 8. Catch Results**

| No           | Month         | Price (Kg) | Mark Production (kg) |
|--------------|---------------|------------|----------------------|
| 1            | January 2021  | 14,000     | 35,784,000           |
|              |               | 14,000     | 93,898,000           |
| 2            | February 2021 | 12,000     | 97,200,000           |
|              |               | 12,000     | 137,640,000          |
| 3            | March 2021    | 14,000     | 78,092,000           |
|              |               | 16,000     | 37,152,000           |
|              |               | 18,000     | 4,500,000            |
| 4            | April 2021    | 18,000     | 6,300,000            |
| Total number |               | 37,333     | 490,566,000          |

Total sales results as a whole for 4 months 12 trips get a result of Rp. 490,566,000. One year's sales results can be assumed to be the total catch for 4 months or 12 trips divided by the number of trips during the study then multiplied by one year which is assumed to be 30 trips so that it has a value of Rp. 1,226,415,000.

### 3.7. Business Feasibility Analysis

The faster a company's investment costs are amortized, the more profitable the business and the smoother the capital cycle (Efrin A. Dollu et al., 2021). Financial analysis is an analysis to evaluate the profitability of a company in KM. Inka Mina 730 includes Profit and Loss Analysis, Benefit Cost Ratio (R/C), Break Even Point and Payback Period and calculates Return on Investment.

#### 3.7.1. Profit and loss

Profit/loss analysis is the process of evaluating a company's financial performance by analyzing the income statement for a certain period of time. The income statement includes information about the company's revenue, operating expenses, interest expenses, income taxes, and the net profit or loss made by the company during the period. The purpose of an income analysis is to evaluate a company's financial performance, identify trends and patterns in revenues and expenses, and measure a company's ability to generate profits and meet financial obligations.

Several financial ratios that can be used in profit and loss analysis include profitability ratios, profit margin ratios, operating cost to revenue ratios, efficiency ratios, and return on investment ratios. Income analysis can also involve a comparison of a company's financial performance with competitors in the same industry or with industry standards. Good profit

and loss analysis can help company management to make the right decisions in managing company finances and improve overall financial performance.

Sales Results at KM. Inka Mina 730 for one year of Rp. 1,226,415,000 while the total costs incurred for one year with 30 trips amounted to Rp. 937109., Mathematically used for the analysis of business income (Efrin A. Dollu et al., 2021) it is known that sales for one year minus total costs get a net profit for 1 year of Rp. 292,432,225.

### **3.7.2. Revenue Cost Ratio(R/C)**

In research Primyastanto (2016); Bayaraa (2017); Budiasa et al. (2018); Damayanti et al. (2017); Hapsari & Fitri (2016); Najamuddin et al. (2017); Andini Putri & Dewi (2019), calculate the Revenue Cost Ratio, namely the balance between the results of operations with the total cost of production. Based on the results of the calculation of the Revenue Cost Ratio, a result of 1.31 is obtained which is the result of the division of the sale of catches for one year divided by the total cost for one year which is greater than 1, so this business is feasible to run.

### **3.7.3. Payback Period (PP)**

The payback period is a simple method in investment analysis to calculate how long it will take for an investment project to generate net cash flow equal to the amount of the initial capital invested. In its calculations, the payback period is calculated by dividing the initial investment by the annual cash flow generated by the project. In this way, we can find out how long it will take to generate sufficient net cash flow to pay off the initial investment. The payback period can be used as a tool to select investment projects that return capital more quickly. The faster a project returns capital, the faster investors will benefit from their investment. However, The payback period also has the disadvantage of not considering the time value of money and future profits, so it does not provide a complete picture of investment performance. Therefore, it is best used together with other methods of investment analysis. Based on the calculation, the PP value is 5.23. Based on the results of these calculations, it can be concluded that the investment issued by KM. Inka Mina 730 can return if it catches fish for 5 years 2 months 23 days (the value criterion is below 5 years, then business capital gains are moderate). Based on the calculation, the PP value is 5.23. Based on the results of these calculations, it can be concluded that the investment issued by KM. Inka Mina 730 can return if it catches fish for 5 years 2 months 23 days (the value criterion is below 5 years, then business capital gains are moderate). Based on the calculation, the PP value is 5.23. Based on the results of these calculations, it can be concluded that the investment issued by KM. Inka Mina 730 can return if it catches fish for 5 years 2 months 23 days (the value criterion is below 5 years, then business capital gains are moderate).

### **3.7.4. Break Even Point (BEP)**

The break even point is a sales level where the revenue from sales equals the total costs or expenses. In other words, at the break-even point, a business produces neither profit nor loss. In its calculation, the break even point is calculated by dividing the total fixed costs by the contribution margin per unit. The contribution margin is the difference between the selling price per unit and the variable cost per unit. The break even point is an important tool in financial management because it helps management to know the minimum sales level

needed to start generating profits. By knowing the break-even point, management can determine the right selling price, make better investment decisions, and plan effective sales and marketing strategies. Based on the results of the Break Even Point analysis, it can be seen that the breakeven point value at KM.Inka Mina 730 with a total revenue of Rp. 511,325,165 and sales of 38,912 kg, so income at Rp. 519,325,165 and sales of 38,912 kg have reached the breakeven point or have experienced no loss or profit.

### **3.7.5. Retrun Of Investment (ROI) Analysis**

ROI is an analysis used to calculate the amount of profit earned in a business compared to the amount of investment issued. From the results of calculating ROI on KM.Inka Mina 730, a value of 19% is obtained, it can be concluded that the fishing effort using the Purse Seine on KM.Inka Mina 730 obtains 19% profit from the large value of the investment issued, so that fishing using the Purse Seine is classified as quite good because the ROI that is obtained is more than 19%. The results of this study are in line with the research conducted Choerudin et al. (2022) which shows that fishing with purse seine in North Gorontalo is feasible.

## **4. CONCLUSION**

Based on the calculation of financial analysis indicators on KM. Inka Mina 730, obtained profit/loss analysis results of Rp. 292,432,255, -, the R/C ratio was 1.31, the results of the Payback Period analysis were 5.23 meaning that to return the investment value, an interval of 5 years 2 months 23 days was needed, while the BEP value was Rp. 511,325,165 means that the business can recover production costs when the total sales of caught fish are Rp. 511,325,165,- and a BEP (Kg) of 38,912 and a 19% ROI (Return of Investment) calculation. This shows that Purse Seine fishing is quite good because ROI is > 15%.

## **REFERENCES**

- Amrain, F., Olii, A. H., & Baruwadi, A. S. R. (2019). Productivity and Feasibility of Lift-net Fisheries in Kwandang. In *Fisheries and Maritime Scientific Journal* (Vol. 7, Issue 1).
- Andini Putri, D., & Dewi, S. (2019). Business Analysis of Catching Bolga Fishery (Mini Purse Seine) With Anchovy (*Engraulidae*) Catches in Gebang Mekar Village, Cirebon Regency, West Java. *Barracuda*, 1(2), 88–103.
- Ba, A., Schmidt, J., Dème, M., Lancker, K., Chaboud, C., Cury, P., Thiao, D., Diouf, M., & Brehmer, P. (2017). Profitability and economic drivers of small pelagic fisheries in West Africa: A twenty year perspective. *Marine Policy*, 76, 152–158. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.MARPOL.2016.11.008>
- Bayaraa, B. (2017). Financial performance determinants of organizations: The case of Mongolian companies. *Journal of Competitiveness*, 9(3), 22–33. <https://doi.org/10.7441/joc.2017.03.02>
- Breen, M., Isaksen, B., Ona, E., Pedersen, A. O., Saltskår, J., Svoldal, B., Tenningen, M., Thomas, P. J., Totland, B., Øvredal, J.T., & Aud Vold, &. (2012). A review of possible mitigation measures for reducing mortality caused by slipping from purse-seine fisheries. ICES CM 2012/C:12. *Environmental Science*.
- Budiasa, I. W., Santosa, I. G. N., Ambarawati, I. G. A. A., Suada, I. K., Sunarta, I. N., & Shchegolkova, N. (2018). Feasibility study and carrying capacity of lake batur ecosystem to preserve tilapia fish farming in Bali, Indonesia. *Biodiversity*, 19(2), 568–575. <https://doi.org/10.13057/biodiv/d190232>

- Choerudin, H., Muallim, R., Nurlaela, E., Sudrajat, D., & Hutajulu, J. (2022). Financial Analysis Of Purse Seine At Mv. Blessing Prayer-01 In North Gorontalo Waters, Gorontalo. *Jalanidhitah Sarva Jivitam Bulletin*, 4(1), 1–10. <http://ejournal-balitbang.kkp.go.id/index.php/JSJ/index>
- Damayanti, H. O., Susilowati, I., & Boesono, H. (2017). Analysis of Squid Net Fisheries Business Production. *TRACE*, 10(1), 30–47. <https://doi.org/10.15294/jejak.v10i1.9125>
- Efrin A. Dollu, Yulianto Tell, & Frans B. Bolang. (2021). Feasibility Analysis of Mini Purse Seine (Ring Pukat) Capture Fisheries Business in Kokar Waters, Northwest Alor District, Alor Regency, East Nusa Tenggara Province. *Indonesian Journal of Aquatics*, 6(1), 1–7.
- El-Haweet, A. (2001). Catch composition and management of daytime purse seine fishery on the southern Mediterranean Sea coast, Abu Qir Bay, Egypt. *Mediterranean Marine Science*, 2(2), 119–126. <https://doi.org/10.12681/mms.270>
- Farida, L., Ghofar, A., Anhar, D., Programs, S., Management, S., Waters, S., Resources, D., Faculty, A., And, P., Marine, I., Diponegoro, U., & Soedarto, J. (2019). Analysis of Business Profit and Loss of Mini Purse Seine Fishing in Ppp Tasikagung Rembang. *Journal Of Maquares*, 8(3), 193–198. <https://ejournal3.undip.ac.id/index.php/maquares>
- Fisu, A. A., Didiharyono, D., & Bakhtiar, B. (2020). Economic & Financial Feasibility Analysis of Tarakan Fishery Industrial Estate Masterplan. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 469(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/469/1/012002>
- Hapsari, T. D., & Fitri, A. D. P. (2016). Technical and Economic Analysis of Modified Payang Fishing Gear in the Fishing Port of Tawang Beach in Kendal District, Indonesia. *Aquatic Procedia*, 7, 254–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AQPRO.2016.07.036>
- Harahap, K. S., Mardiah, R. S., & Ikhsan, S. A. (2021). Business Analysis of Fish Sauce from Goldspotted Anchovies (*Coilia dussumieri*) in Bagansiapiapi, Riau Province. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 715(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/715/1/012064>
- Najamuddin, Aris Baso, Musbir, Akmaluddin, Alfa Nelwan, Sudirman, Ibnu Hajar, Mahfud Palo, & Mukti Zainuddin. (2017). Performance of fishing gear on skipjack tuna *Katsuwonus pelamis* in south Sulawesi, Indonesia. *AACF Bioflux*, 10(2), 164–171. <http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacf>
- Nurfitriana, N., Saputra, A., Renandi Vian Agusta, A., Jakarta Fisheries Business Expert, P., West AUP Rt, J., & Padang, Pasar Minggu District, South Jakarta, J. (2022). Financial Analysis Of Fishing Business With Purse Seine In The Wpp 716 North Gorontalo District (Case Study KM Mina Maritim 150). *Journal of Megaptera*, 1(1), 9–22. <https://doi.org/10.15578/jmtr.v1i1.11450>
- Pratasik, S. B., Akerina, I., Bataragoa, E., & Manoppo, L. (2020). Small pelagic fisheries conditions in North Sulawesi: A case study on traditional purse seine practice in Likupang Village, Indonesia. *int. J. Aquat. Biol*, 8(3), 178–183.
- Pujianto, Herry Boesono, & Dian Wijayanto. (2013). Business Feasibility Analysis on Financial Aspects Catching Mini Purse Seine With Different Net Sizes in Ujungbatu PPI, Jepara Regency. *Journal of Fisheries Resources Utilization Management and Technology*, 2(2), 124–133.
- Purwoko, A., Hartini, K. S., & Rajagukguk, P. A. (2021). Financial and marketing analysis of pedada syrup (*Sonneratia caseolaris* L.) in Sei Nagalawan village, North Sumatra province, Indonesia. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 332. <https://doi.org/10.1051/e3sconf/202133203006>
- Rahmah, A., Ulfa, M., Damora,

- A., Aprilla, R. M., & Chaliluddin, M. (2021). Purse seine productivity in Lhok Pawoh fishing port, Sawang, South Aceh. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 674(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1755-1315/674/1/012044>
- Rinaldi, A. C., Adhawati, S. S., & Mallawa, A. (2019). Feasibility of Pole-and-Line Fishery: Comparison of Milkfish (*Chanoschanos*, Forskal) and Anchovy (*Stolephorus* sp) as Live Bait. *International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology*, 4(5), 1567–1572. <https://doi.org/10.22161/ijeab.45.43>
- Ruiz, J., Louzao, M., Oyarzabal, I., Arregi, L., Mugerza, E., & Uriarte, A. (2021). The Spanish purse-seine fishery targeting small pelagic species in the Bay of Biscay: Landings, discards and interactions with protected species. *Fisheries Research*, 239, 105951. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.FISHRES.2021.105951>
- Tsagarakis, K., Vassilopoulou, V., Kallianiotis, A., & Machias, A. (2012). Discards of the purse seine fishery targeting small pelagic fish in the eastern Mediterranean Sea. *Scientia Marina*, 76(3), 561–572. <https://doi.org/10.3989/scimar.03452.02B>
- Uhlmann, S. S., & Ulrich, C. (2019). *The European Landing Obligation Reducing Discards in Complex, Multi-Species and Multi-Jurisdictional Fisheries* (S. J. Kennelly, Ed.). Springer Open.
- Wijayanto, D., Setiyanto, I., Setyawan, H. A., & Wijayanto, D. (2019). Financial analysis of the Danish seine fisheries business in Rembang Regency, Indonesia. *AACF Bioflux*, 12(5), 1823–1831. <http://www.bioflux.com.ro/aacf>