

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_101659
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL-BASED MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES AND COPING STRATEGIES IN THE SECONDARY EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM OF PUERTO PRINCESA CITY AND PALAWAN DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript) 2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title) 3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive? 4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate? 5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct? 6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form. <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<p>The manuscript is adequate and timely for scientific community so as to provide interventions on coping strategies for schools' stakeholders, to reduce stress levels and increase overall well-being of education stakeholders.</p> <p>Very suitable</p> <p>The abstract is comprehensive enough</p> <p>Subsections and structure of the manuscript is systematic arranged.</p> <p>Yes, the manuscript is scientifically correct.</p> <p>The references are sufficient and 65% are recent (within the span of 10 years).</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications? 	<p>Language/English quality of the article is suitable, the author only need to do little tenses editing e.g "respondents" for "respondent" etc</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Odede Simeon Oluwole
Department, University & Country	School of Secondary Education, Osun State College of Education, Nigeria