

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_101659
Title of the Manuscript:	SCHOOL-BASED MANAGEMENT CHALLENGES AND COPING STRATEGIES IN THE SECONDARY EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM OF PUERTO PRINCESA CITY AND PALAWAN DURING THE COVID-19 PANDEMIC
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p><u>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</u></p>	<p>1. This article is important as a reference and various experiences between the world of education, especially in anticipating the Covid-19 pandemic some time ago. Each country has its methods, such as the use of coping methods in the Philippines which are considered quite effective in overcoming various learning difficulties during the co-19 pandemic.</p> <p>2. the title of the article with appropriate content and discussion and has good relevance.</p> <p>3. The abstract has explained in general the contents of the article</p> <p>4. Subtitles with appropriate title sections</p> <p>5. This article has followed the systematics of scientific writing</p> <p>6. References used are more than 30, only references that are more than 10 years old need to be opened. Moreover, the theme raised is the problem of Covid-19, which happened just a few years ago.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<p>The English used is understandable and understandable</p>	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>For a scientific article, there needs to be a selection of the most important parts to display so that this article can be simpler. For articles with 27 pages including length and readers who are impatient to read.. the research results tables can only be used as attachments and made recapitulations so that only the summary data appears.</p>	

Review Form 1.7

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Muhammad Rais Rahmat Razak
Department, University & Country	Universitas Muhammadiyah Sidenreng Rappang, Indonesia