

Review Form 1.7

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJESS_101535
Title of the Manuscript:	Application of the Think Talk Write Model with Visual Media to Improve Summary Writing Skills
Type of the Article	Method Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Yes. It can make a significant scientific contribution to the field of language teaching. • Yes. Nevertheless, the title is not fully informative of the main theme and the scope of the study. I suggest the title to be rephrased as: <i>:Effects of the Think Talk Write Model with Visual Media on Students' Summary Writing Skills"</i> • Not. The abstract is incomplete as there are omissions of essential details. • Yes. Subsections and structure of the manuscript are appropriately organized, • Yes. However, extensive revision is required. <p>Yes. There are too many references both seminal and recent, but while some are cited in the end references section , there are glaring omissions the in-text references.</p>	
<p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Not. The manuscript suffers from grammar problems, so it needs an extensive revision with respect to the overall language and grammar. 	
<p>Optional/General comments</p>	<p>This manuscript has several commendable aspects with regard to teaching a language. However, as the research methodology is the most critical part of the manuscript, it requires rigorous revision.</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p>	<p><i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i></p>	

Review Form 1.7

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Yenus Nurie Bogale
Department, University & Country	Bahir Dar University, Ethiopia