

Review Form 1.7

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Asian Journal of Education and Social Studies |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_AJESS_101535 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Application of the Think Talk Write Model with Visual Media to Improve Summary Writing Skills |
| Type of the Article | Method Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajess.com/index.php/AJESS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| <p>Compulsory REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is the manuscript important for scientific community? (Please write few sentences on this manuscript)</p> <p>2. Is the title of the article suitable? (If not please suggest an alternative title)</p> <p>3. Is the abstract of the article comprehensive?</p> <p>4. Are subsections and structure of the manuscript appropriate?</p> <p>5. Do you think the manuscript is scientifically correct?</p> <p>6. Are the references sufficient and recent? If you have suggestion of additional references, please mention in the review form.</p> <p>(Apart from above mentioned 6 points, reviewers are free to provide additional suggestions/comments)</p> | <p>1. The scientific research is important for scientific community. It is an independent scientific work of theoretical and practical value. The results of the work are of value for courses in theory and teaching methods.</p> <p>2. The title of the article is quite suitable.</p> <p>3. The abstract of the article is comprehensive.</p> <p>4. The subsections and the structure of the manuscript are appropriate.</p> <p>5. The article is scientifically correct, the structure of the work seems to be consistent and reasonable.</p> <p>6. The references are sufficient and recent, but there are some points to be corrected:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Sembiring (2021, 12) (p. 2) is missed. - In the very text of the article there should be reference (Otang Kurniawan, et al, 2018) (p. 3). - (Diani & Suhendi, 2019, 2) – p. 5 - does not coincide with [4] Diani, DR. Development of Student Worksheets (LKPD) Writing Short Stories Based on Android Applications. Journal of Language, Literature, and Teaching. 2019;7(2):1-13. Indonesia. - Which one is Tarigan (2015, 26) - p. 6: [25] Tarigan, H. G. Reading as a Language Skill. Bandung: CV Angkasa; 2015. <p>or</p> <p>[26] Tarigan, M. R. Improving Writing Skills of Exposition Paragraphs Through Discussion Methods with Newspaper Media Class X Students of SMA Negeri 6 Binjai Academic Year 2013/2014. Journal of Culture Education. 2015;2(2): 21-41. Indonesia ?</p> | |
| <p>Minor REVISION comments</p> <p>1. Is language/English quality of the article suitable for scholarly communications?</p> | <p>The article English quality is suitable for scholarly communications.</p> | |
| <p>Optional/General comments</p> | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <p>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</p> | <p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p> | |

[Review Form 1.7](#)

Reviewer Details:

| | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| Name: | Irina A. Zyubina |
| Department, University & Country | Southern Federal University, Institute of Philology, Journalism and Cross-Cultural Communication, Russia |